

CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE

LandCorp

Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area Sidings and Spur Rail Design Report

March 2015



INFRASTRUCTURE | MINING & INDUSTRY | DEFENCE | PROPERTY & BUILDINGS | ENVIRONMENT

Executive Summary

Background

The Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area (KSIA) was established in 1985 with the primary objective to accommodate heavy industry and resource processing for the South West of Western Australia.

The objective of this study is to identify a rail freight corridor from KSIA to the Bunbury Port, providing a long term compatibility between transport activities, and land planning to ensure project ready status. The study builds on previous studies.

Design Options

The rail alignment design is based on the design standards of Brookfield Rail, the authority which manages the rail freight network in the southern half of Western Australia. A basis of design has been produced for the study, incorporating these standards, and agreed with Brookfield Rail. This is described in more detail in section 2 of this report.

Two rail route options have been considered to connect KSIA to Bunbury Port these are:

- Fast Train Line allowing the train movements to/from Bunbury via the Australind bypass / Old Coast Road using the proposed Bunbury Fast Train route.
- South West Main Line this route follows the existing Perth Bunbury, South West Main Line. The option would potentially require additional infrastructure to be constructed to negate disruption of existing traffic on the route from additional traffic generated from KSIA.

The connection of the KSIA spur to the South West Main Line (SWML) will be a complex arrangement due to the close proximity of the SWML and the parallel South Western Highway. route option has subsequently been broken down into three linkage options. These are

- Option 1 Realignment of the South Western Highway.
- Option 2 Vertical realignment of the South Western Highway
- Option 3 Realignment of the South Western Main Line.

Within the KSIA Core, two options have been considered these are as follows:

- Option A Sidings only Proposed spur on the eastern edge of Kemerton Road utilising a simple siding with a run-round loop.
- Option B Sidings and Balloon Loop Proposed balloon loop and incorporated siding.

It has been established that each rail alignment option can operate effectively, however each option has its advantages and disadvantages which has influenced the recommendations detailed below.

Recommendations

Using all information available during the design phase of the project the study findings indicate that there is no major impediment to introducing the proposed rail service from KSIA to Bunbury Port, however the following recommendations are made:

- The preferred route option to connect from the proposed KSIA Core to Bunbury Port remains via the South West Main Line Option as described in section 3.1.2, It is considered that this is the most viable option in terms of cost, as it would to utilise the an existing rail alignment, and would require significantly less land acquisition than the proposed Fast Train Option.
- The favoured linkage option to connect the South West Main Line to the proposed KSIA Core is Option 2 – keeping the existing South Western Highway in its current horizontal alignment, but raising the vertical alignment vertically to cross the rail at high level. This option would require minimal rail works to the South West Main Line, would provide a grade separation between the rail line and the South Western Rail Line. Provided retained embankment/reinforced earth techniques are employed, impact on residential property in the area will be minimal.
- The preferred KSIA Core design option is Option A (Siding only). This is based on an assumption the KSIA will attract a number of smaller industries that will require rail freight facilities as this format is unlikely to suit a single, large user..

For further details of all design options refer to section 3 of the report.

Further Studies

As described in section 6, further studies should be conducted to ensure the most appropriate option is selected for construction and to confirm that the studies assumptions are correct. These studies should look into road infrastructure, train operations, land access, Fast Train route alignment and various environmental issues.

Contents

1.	Intro	oduction	7
	1.1	Background	7
	1.2	Study Objectives	7
	1.3	Purpose of this Report	8
	1.4	Previous Investigations	8
	1.5	Existing Transport Infrastructure	9
	1.6	Land Ownership	10
2.	Bas	is of Design	11
	2.1	Design Standards	11
	2.2	Design Criteria	11
	2.3	Basis of Design Criteria	11
	2.4	Assumptions	15
	2.5	Potential Uses	16
3.	Rail	Alignment	17
	3.1	Route Options	17
	3.2	Core Alignment	22
	3.3	Comparison of route options	24
4.	Sun	nmary of Rail Operations	30
	4.1	Train Product Load Requirement	30
	4.2	Proposed Operating Overview	30
	4.3	Operation of Trains on the Arrival Road and within the KSIA Terminal	31
	4.4	Operating Process for the Management of Movements at the	31
	4.5	Consultation and Accreditation	32
-	01-1		05
5.	Stal	kenolder Consultation	35
	5.1	Brookfield Rail	35
	5.2	Main Roads WA	35
	5.3	Shire of Harvey	35
	5.4	PTA, Brookfield Rail and Department of State Development	36
6.	Furt	her Studies	37

6.1	KSIA Road Infrastructure	37
6.2	Land Access	37
6.3	Road Infrastructure and Fast Train Alignment	37
6.4	Noise	37
6.5	Additional Further Studies	38
Summary and Recommendations 39		39

Table Index

7.

Table 2-1:	Design Assumptions	11
Table 2-2:	Basis of Design Criteria – Rail	12
Table 2-3:	Basis of Design Criteria – Track	13
Table 2-4:	Basis of Design Criteria – Earthworks	14
Table 2-5:	Basis of Design Criteria – Drainage	14
Table 2-6:	Basis of Design Criteria – Structures	15
Table 2-7:	Design Assumptions	15
Table 3-2.3	Constraints	24
Table 3-2.4	Opportunities	25
Table 3-3	Comparison Summary	26
Table 3-4	Advantages and Disadvantages – Route Options	26
Table 3-5	Advantages and Disadvantages – Marriott	
	Road/South West Main Line link options	28
Table 3-6	Advantages and Disadvantages – KSIA Core	
	Alignment options	29
Table 4-1:	Product Requirements	30

Appendices

- A Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area Location Plan
- B Draft Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area Structure Plan
- C Greater Bunbury Infrastructure Plan
- D Kemerton Core Rail Alignment Option A
- E Kemerton Core Rail Alignment Option B

- F Kemerton Core Rail Alignment Option B, (Fast Train Sub-Option)
- G Route Option: South West Main Line Option
- H Draft Greater Bunbury Strategy
- I Route Option: Fast Train Option
- J South West Main Line/Marriot Road Linkage Option 1
- K South West Main Line/Marriot Road Linkage Option 2
- L South West Main Line/Marriot Road Linkage Option 3
- M South West Main Line/Marriot Road Linkage Option 2
- N Agreed Rail Line PTA/Brookfield
- O Minutes from Stakeholder Consultation
- P Design Drawings
- Q References

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

The Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area (KSIA) was established in 1985 with the primary objective of accommodating heavy industry and resource processing for the South West of Western Australia. The KSIA is located approximately 140km south of Perth and 17km North-east of Bunbury (refer Appendix A Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area Location Plan). Surrounded by a buffer zone, the total area occupied by KSIA is 7,508 hectares, comprising of the following:

- Strategic Industry Zone (Core): 2,024ha
- Industry Buffer Zone (Buffer): 5,200ha
- Ancillary Industry Zone (Support Industry): 284ha

The KSIA plays a key role in the industrial and employment framework of the region and in terms employment, will accommodate of up to 2,250 jobs by 2031 equating to a wages and salary value of \$118m. At 2031, the production value of Kemerton will be a minimum \$371m per annum and is likely to generate additional indirect employment of up to 12,800 persons in WA. Without the KSIA functioning at an optimal capacity these values will be lost to other regions within WA, other Australian States and other countries in today's highly competitive global market economy (source: Syme Marmion 2013 Kemerton Industrial Park Social and Economic Analysis).

The need for rail infrastructure was first identified in the *Bunbury-Kemerton Transport Centre Corridor*, completed on behalf of the Western Australian Planning Commission in 1997. This report identified the need for and justifies the subsequent Government purchase of a 60 m rail reserve on the northern side of Marriott Road in 1989/1990, to provide a link onto the South West Main Line.

Existing industries in the KSIA include:

- Simcoa Operations (Silicon Smelter)
- Cristal Global (Pigment Plant Titanium Dioxide)
- Nufarm Coogee Pty Ltd (Chlor alkali Plant)
- BOC Limited (Oxygen and Nitrogen Plant)
- Transfield Services
- Tesla

1.2 Study Objectives

The objectives of this study are to build on previous studies and provide the basis for securing a rail freight corridor from the KSIA to the Port of Bunbury and to achieve long term compatibility between transport activity, land use planning, the community and the environment. This is to be coordinated through the KSIA Structure Plan which will facilitate the project-ready status of the KSIA. The objective of the report is to prepare rail design options for the following:

- KSIA to Bunbury Port Rail Alignment (Options of linking the KSIA to Bunbury Port);
- South West Main Line/Marriott Road Spur Link (Spur link onto South West Main Line); and
- KSIA Core Rail Alignment (Internal rail alignment servicing the KSIA Core).

The study discusses the advantages and disadvantages of each option and recommends the most appropriate design, which shall be incorporated into the Kemerton Structure Plan (refer Appendix B for Draft Structure Plan). The outcomes of this study do not preclude the relevant statutory approvals that will be required to secure the proposed rail concept.

At this stage of the development of the KSIA, it is not known exactly how many industries or which type of industries will need to be serviced by rail. As such, the position of the rail line is indicative only and will ultimately be dictated by the land size requirement of future proponents within the KSIA Core.

1.3 Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is as follows:

- Provide a detailed rail concept plans for KSIA including a detailed explanation;
- Develop preliminary alignment design, earthworks design and a rail crossing design;
- Propose rail operational and technical requirements;
- Provide the results of the stakeholder consultation, and
- Determine the operational parameters and responsibilities to manage and operate the rail network.

1.4 Previous Investigations

Information from the following reports have been utilised by GHD in the preparation of this report:

Kemerton Bunbury Transport Corridor Study 1997 (BSD)

This study investigated the options for a rail corridor linking the KSIA to the Port of Bunbury. Cabinet decided the preferred route for the rail corridor was along the existing south west rail line. This option still reflects the preferred rail route.

• Kemerton Expansion Study 1998 (BSD)

This study recommends an expansion of the KSIA Core to cater for the Region's growth over the following 30-50 years, including the need to connect the rail to a deep water port.

• Kemerton– Bunbury Rail Corridor Study 2001 (BSD)

The Bunbury Rail Corridor Study reviewed the findings of the Kemerton Bunbury Transport Study (1997) and Kemerton Expansion Study (1998), further investigating the options to connect the Marriott Road spur line to the South West Main Line by including the cost estimates, the impact on Rare Flora and Fauna, Aboriginal Heritage, adjoining properties and the possible rail duplication of the rail south of Brunswick. The report concluded there were no major impediments to the development of rail along the Marriott Road rail reserve and linking o the South West Main Line.

Industry 2030 – Greater Bunbury Industrial land and Port Access Planning 2004 (WAPC)

The Industry 2030 Study drew on the key access recommendations under the Kemerton Expansion Study, Kemerton Bunbury Transport Corridor Study, Preston Industrial Park Land Use and Port

Access Study and the Bunbury Port Access Road. This report underpinned the expanded KSIA Core, which was rezoned in the Greater Bunbury Region Scheme in 2007.

Kemerton Rail Spur Economic Evaluation 2004 (SKM)

SKM were engaged to undertake an economic evaluation of the proposed rail spur, which included preparing options for a rail link onto South Western Highway, assessing the advantages and disadvantages of each option and justifying the preferred design. The report concluded that under a cost benefit analysis approach, construction of the rail spur onto the South West Main Line could not be justified under the demand from existing users unless there is a new major user.

• Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area Strategy Plan 2007

The Strategy Plan was a precursor to the structure planning studies currently underway. The Strategy Plan proposed an internal rail design of various loops to service the KSIA Core and was linked to the Port of Bunbury via Marriott Road and South West Main line linkage;

1.5 Existing Transport Infrastructure

1.5.1 Road Infrastructure

The KSIA is bound by two primary distributor roads, namely the Perth Bunbury Highway (Old Coast Road/Australind Bypass) to the west and South Western Highway to the east. Three existing roads link Kemerton to these primary distributors, being Marriott Road, Wellesley Road and Treasure Road.

1.5.2 Rail Infrastructure (South West Main Line)

The single, bi-directional track of the South West Main Line runs 4km east of the KSIA alongside South Western Highway and is used for transporting alumina, sodium, coal and the Perth to Bunbury Passenger route. The Coalfields Rail Line joins the South West Main Line at Brunswick Junction, approximately 5km south of the KSIA.

Between the Brunswick Junction to Port of Bunbury, the rail line is currently operating at near capacity, moving 10.6 million tonnes of alumina and caustic soda per annum. The Australind passenger service operates four well utilised services per day between Perth and Bunbury and in recent times, rail congestion has increased. A Western Australian based group has lodged the Greater Bunbury Infrastructure Investment Plan (also known as Roads to Export) with Infrastructure Australia in March 2011. This application seeks funding to duplicate the rail line between Brunswick Junction and Picton to ease congestion into the Bunbury Port. (Refer Appendix C for Greater Bunbury Infrastructure Plan).

1.5.3 Bunbury Port

Access to the Bunbury Port is currently via the South West Main Line and via road links such as the Port Access Road and Old Coast Road. Although there are currently no direct links from the KSIA to the Bunbury Port, future capacity to accommodate the KSIA is proposed in the Port expansion plans, which propose to increase the number of berths from 7 to 15. The *Roads to Export: Greater Bunbury Infrastructure Investment Plan* asserts that the south west's industrial parks must be connected to the Bunbury Port by "efficient and safe road and rail transport linkages in order to realise their economic potential".

It should be noted that although the subject report concerns the rail linkages from the KSIA to the Bunbury Port, road linkages into the Port will also continue to provide a major transportation option.

1.6 Land Ownership

Total	Core (ha)	Buffer (ha)	Total (ha)
LandCorp	1152	1572	2724
DPaW	220	2091	2311
Industry/Commercial	365	774	1139
Other*	287	794	1392
	2024	5231	7566

The following table is a breakdown of land area ownership currently at the KSIA:

*Includes private landowners, reserves and other Government Departments such as the Water Corporation and Local Authorities.

2. Basis of Design

2.1 Design Standards

The design is based on current Brookfield Rail standards, which is the manager and operator of the majority of the rail freight network in the southern half of Western Australia, including the South West Main line.

2.2 Design Criteria

The standards listed below in Table 2-1 were the sources used to determine the adopted design criteria.

Table 2-1:	Desian	Assum	otions
	Doorgin	/ 100 am	0110110

Organisation	Document number	Title
WestNet Rail	W190-400-002	Narrow Gauge Mainline Code of Practice
Public Transport Authority (PTA)	8190-400-002	Code of Practice for the PTA Narrow Gauge Mainline
Department of Transport	June 2001	Kemerton – Bunbury Rail Corridor Study
Department of Industry and resources	November 2004	Kemerton Rail Spur Economic Evaluation (SKM)

2.3 Basis of Design Criteria

The criteria to establish the technical basis of design are shown in Tables 2-2 through to Table 2-6. The design is based on Brookfield Rail design criteria, which has been adopted in the Basis of Design tables shown overleaf. Where design criteria are not defined, GHD has utilised its experience from other rail projects and adopted an appropriate value for the KSIA line.

Table 2-2: Basis of Design Criteria – Rail

Item	Adopted
Spur / Branch Speed (Max.)	80 km/hr
Siding Speed (Max.)	25 km/hr
Ruling Grade (Loaded)	1 in 100 (compensated)
Ruling Grade (Unloaded)	1 in 82.5 (compensated)
Balloon Loop Length	4000m
Siding Length	1000 m
Train Length	686 m
Rail Gauge	Narrow Gauge (1067mm)
Axle Load (Max.)	21 tonnes axle loads
Train Load	3832 tonnes
Locomotive Type	As required
Locomotive Length	As required
Wagon Length	14 m
Handling Capacity	As required

Table 2-3: Basis of Design Criteria – Track

Item	Adopted
Design Life Track Infrastructure	50 years
Mainline Turnout	Speed Dependant
Siding Turnout	Speed Dependant
Catchpoint	As required
Horizontal curve radius (spur line)	300m
Horizontal curve radius (sidings)	200m
Superelevation (Spur Line)	75mm
Superelevation Deficiency	60mm
Superelevation Deficiency rate of change	55mm/s
Superelevation Ramp rate	1:500
Superelevation (Siding)	0mm
Vertical Alignment Parameters	As required
Vertical curve radius (Spur line minimum)	3200 m
Vertical curve radius (Mainline minimum)	2000 m
Vertical curve minimum length	40 m
Rail Type Section	50kg/m Rail
Sleeper Type	Narrow Gauge Concrete
Sleeper Spacing	700mm
Ballast Depth	200mm
Track Centres (Minimum)	4000mm
Structure Gauge	Standard BR Structural Clearance Outline

In the absence of detailed Geotechnical advice, Brookfield Rail standards have been adopted for earthworks design. This should be verified prior to construction. Other criteria are based on GHD's previous experience in designing earthworks for rail lines with similar properties to the proposed KSIA line. Many of the values for the criteria listed in Table 2-4 are subject to change once a geotechnical investigation has been completed.

Item	Adopted
Cess Grade (Min.)	1 in 200
Cess Width(Min.)	300mm
Embankments	As required
Formation Cross fall	1 in 50
Capping	230mm
Shoulder Width	3000mm&6500mm
Max. Cut Batter Slope	1 in 3
Max. Fill Batter Slope	1 in 3
Benching	4.0 m wide at 7.0 vertical metres
Shrink Swell Factors	0.8 1.2

Table 2-4: Basis of Design Criteria – Earthworks

Table 2-5: Basis of Design Criteria – Drainage

Item	Adopted
Flood Event	100yr ARI
Culvert Cover (Min.)	1200mm
Culvert Dia. Size (Minimum)	450mm
Culvert Loading	300LA (AS5100.2)

Table 2-6: Basis of Design Criteria – Structures

ltem	Adopted
Design Life	50 years
Design Loading (Max.)	300LA (AS5100.2)

2.4 Assumptions

Further to the Basis of Design criteria in section 2.3, the following assumptions have been made. These additional assumptions are shown in Table 2-7 below.

Table 2-7: Design Assumptions

ltem	Assumption	Comment
Axle Load	21 tonne (as per Main South West Line)	 Short term 19 tonne Medium term 21 tonne In the Long term if axle load increases above 21 tonne then shorter train lengths should be considered Main South West Line currently runs 21 tonne trains
Wagon Type	14m Wagons	2 No. 20ft containers with a length of 12.4m, extra 1.5m added, making the total length approximately 14m
Maximum loaded operating speed	80 km/h	Typical freight train speed for 3000HP locomotive
Train load	3832 tonne	2 No. 3000 locomotives, 6 axles per locomotive, 1.5HP per tonne therefore 3832 tonne train load
Length of trains	686m	Number of Wagons = 3832/ (21*4) = 46 Train length = 46*14 + 2 locomotives @ 21m = 686m
Sidings Length	1000m	New Brookfield Rail siding length at Worsley
Survey	Lidar coverage to be used	A full survey should be conducted at the detailed design stage of the project
Earthwork Slopes	Wood and Grieve earthworks model to be used	This should be re-evaluated at the next stage of design

2.5 Potential Uses

The types of industries which may potentially utilise lots in the KSIA Core include:

- Urea Plant
- Aluminium Smelter/Refinery
- Fuel Terminal
- Titanium Nitrate Storage
- Xanthate Plant
- Ammonia Plant
- Fertiliser Plant
- Hydrogen Peroxide Plant
- Lithium Metal Facility
- Timber Product Facility
- Tantalum refining plant
- Pulp and Paper Mill
- Synthetic Rutile Plant
- Vanadium Refining Plant

It should be noted that land areas will vary between 5 ha to 200 ha depending upon the nature and scale of the industrial activity.

3. Rail Alignment

This section outlines rail alignment design options to service the KSIA. Each of the rail alignment options can operate effectively, however there are advantages and disadvantages to be considered. In addition to the Basis of Design Standards discussed in Section 2, the following criteria were considered in the preparation of the rail alignment options:

- Minimise crossings with existing/future transport network;
- Reduce impact on existing properties and residences;
- Utilise land holdings in Government ownership;
- Minimise impact on significant environmental features (such as wetlands, native vegetation); and
- Minimise disruption to existing rail operations.

For detail of the horizontal alignment of the rail alignment designs refer to Appendix O.

Two rail line options were considered for connecting the KSIA to Bunbury Port:

- Fast Train Line Option (via Australind Bypass/Old Coast Road); and
- South West Main Line Option.

3.1 Route Options

3.1.1 : Fast Train Line Option

The Draft Greater Bunbury Region Strategy proposes a fast passenger rail link (also referred to as the Perth – Bunbury Fast Train) between Mandurah and Bunbury, which generally follows the alignment of Old Coast Road (Refer Appendix H for Draft Greater Bunbury Strategy). Utilising this alignment has the potential to offer a shorter route to the Bunbury Port compared to the South West Link (refer Appendix H for Kemerton to Bunbury Port Rail Alignment). To facilitate this, access to Old Coast Road is required to travel south of the KSIA Core and through the KSIA Buffer because of the large ridge on the western edge of the Buffer, which will restrict rail transport operations.

From the KSIA Core, the rail alignment intersect Marriott Road to the south. The rail will then continue south through the Kemerton Buffer to meet and travel alongside the proposed Perth – Bunbury Fast Train.

It is proposed that the freight line from KSIA would be located alongside the Fast Train line within the median strip of the Australind Bypass. The proposed alignment for both the Fast Train and KSIA will pass under the Australind Bypass via a dive (tunnel) and then run in a corridor alongside the western boundary of the highway toward Bunbury. Access into the Port will be via the Port Access Road, which would be accessed via the North Preston Industrial Estate in Picton.

• The proposed alignment will require the following road/rail crossings along the Fast Train option, which at the time of writing this report are proposed to be grade separated. The design of which

should be further considered at the next stage of the project. Kemerton Road (proposed), would be located on the Fast Rail Main Line and would require to be grade separated

 Paradise Road (proposed), would be located on the Fast Rail Main Line and would require to be grade separated

Under this option, it is recommended that a further study and review of the internal road network in the KSIA Core be undertaken and fully integrated with rail plan to minimise traffic delays in the area. It should be noted that Brookfield Rail policy is not to adopt new level crossings into their rail network as the road/rail vehicle interface introduces a high level of risk to operations. Part of the integrated road/rail planning exercise will need to consider grade separation of the two transport modes.

Advantages

- Potential shorter travel route into Bunbury Port, however this could potentially result in the slowest travel time;
- Demonstrates an alternative option to the Marriott Road/South West Main Line link to Bunbury Port.
- Grade separation of Kemerton Road will allow efficient rail and road movements.

Disadvantages

- Not suited to more smaller individual and mixed industries utilising KSIA;
- Kemerton Road crossing required, resulting in a significant associated cost;
- Consumes large area of the KSIA Core and could sterilise much of remainder of the core;
- Crossover with Marriott Road in undesirable location, close to industry access ways and high traffic volumes;
- Relies on suitable link via Old Coast Road to Bunbury Port;
- Requires connection or integration with future Perth to Bunbury Fast Rail alignment (not considered as part of this study);
- Requires travel through KSIA Buffer, potentially resulting in harm to wetlands and native vegetation (to be considered in future studies);
- Requires significant amount of new railway to be constructed i.e. Fast Rail ; and
- Possible disruptions to residential dwellings.

3.1.2 Route Option: South West Main Line Option

Under this alignment option, the KSIA is proposed to be linked to the Bunbury Port via the South West Main Line, utilising the existing rail infrastructure (Refer Appendix G South West Main Line Option 1).

It is anticipated that the South West Main Line option would be the fastest and most direct route to Bunbury Port, utilising existing infrastructure and land. However it is unknown if additional infrastructure would be required to ensure the South West Main Line would continue to operate efficiently in its current form. It is recommended that a further study be conducted to analyse this at the next stage of the project.

In 1989/1990, a 60 m reserve was resumed along Marriott Road between the KSIA and South Western Highway, specifically to accommodate both the railway line and road way. The intention was that the 30 m road reserve would be allocated to the road reserve and 30 m to the rail reserve. Currently the centreline of Marriott Road is aligned approximately from the southern boundary of the Marriott Road road reserve.

The proposed alignment will require only one grade separated crossing with the South Western Highway being diverted over the Rail alignment. The design of which should be further considered at the next stage of the project.

It is recommended that a further study and review of the internal road network in the KSIA Core be undertaken and fully integrated with rail plan to minimise traffic delays in the area. It should be noted that Brookfield Rail policy is not to adopt new level crossings into their rail network as the road/rail vehicle interface introduces a high level of risk to operations. Part of the integrated road/rail planning exercise will need to consider grade separation of the two transport modes.

Advantages

- Utilises the existing Marriott Road rail reserve;
- Infrastructure into Bunbury Port is well established and therefore it is assumed that it would require minimal infrastructure upgrades, allowing for minimal disruption to existing infrastructure and ensuring a more cost effective solution to existing routes;
- Grade separated junction over South Western Highway; and
- Preferable for large industry utilising the whole area.

Disadvantages

- Grade separated junction at South Western Highway could be expensive;
- Impacts on private property;
- Significant road diversion to the existing South Western Highway or South West Main Line (dependent on which SWML/Marriott Road linkage option is selected) will be required.
- Capacity may not be adequate to accommodate all train movements and duplication may be required.

Additional sub-options were developed for the following aspects of the alignment, which may be considered independently of each other:

- South West Main Line/Marriott Road Linkage; and
- KSIA Core Alignment.

3.1.2.1 South West Main Line/Marriott Road Linkage

This chapter of the report discusses the various options to link the Kemerton Rail spur onto the existing South West Main Lane via Marriott Road. The proposed connection of the rail spur to the South West Main Line would be at a location where the road and rail line run parallel to each other at close spacing. Provision of a new triangular junction would require the provision of two heavily skewed level crossings. Consequently, three alternative options were considered for the connection which would negate the requirement for the level crossings and incorporate grade separation of road and rail at the crossing points. Grade separation eliminates traffic delays and safety concerns.

The options considered were:

- Re-align the South Western Highway while retaining the South West Main Line on its existing alignment (Option 1)
- Leave the existing South West Main Line and South Western Highway on their existing horizontal alignments (Option 2)
- Re-align the South West Main Line while retaining the South Western Highway on its existing alignment (Option 3)

All options were designed and advantages and disadvantages of each option are discussed below.

Option 1 - Realignment of the South Western Highway

The spur line to the KSIA would connect to the existing narrow gauge South West Main Line via two new curves to form a triangle enabling trains to access or depart the spur line from either the north or the south. The railway would be constructed at or close to existing ground level. This layout would require installation of turnouts at each of the connection points on the South West Main Line. (Refer to Appendix J for Realignment of the South Western Highway Option 1 for details).

The highway would be relocated to the west of its existing alignment and cross the spur at a point west of the turnout where the north & south chord lines converge. This will allow the highway to cross the railway at a single grade separated crossing point (road over rail bridge), eliminating the need for a double span structure.

Advantages

- Single span bridge over a single line rail track, minimising the span of the structure;
- Grade separated rail / road interface over major highway; and
- Minimal disruption to the existing South West Main Line (majority of the construction could take place off line), and would provide an effective crossing once construction is completed

Disadvantages

- Significant road deviation to the existing South Western Highway, to realign the South Western Highway once the structure is completed off line;
- Significant earth works required for the proposed South Western Highway required to achieve the overbridge structure height;
- Portion of Marriott Road requires to be elevated to tie in with the new highway levels; and
- Significant portion of private land needs to be acquired to the west of the existing South West Main Line

Option 2 – Retain existing horizontal alignments

Option 2 would leave the road and rail alignments in their current horizontal orientation, and elevate the existing South Western Highway to allow the road and rail to be grade separated.

As in option 1, this layout would require the installation of two new turnouts on the South West Main Line, to allow connection to the proposed branch line, and would allow a triangle to be formed enabling trains to access or depart the spur line from either the north or the south. To allow this to occur, the South Western Highway would be required to cross the alignment in two locations via road over rail structures, and it would be necessary to either:

- Construct a long multi-span structure (approximately 600m in length), or
- Construct a retained embankment or a reinforced earth embankment approach to allow two separate bridges to be constructed, and grade separate the road-rail interface but keeping within the restrictive plan footprint of the site.

Refer Appendix K to South West Main Line/Marriott Road Linkage Option 2

Advantages

- Minimal rail works to the South West Main Line;
- Minimal land take requirements;
- Less disruptive to local properties; and
- Grade separated rail / road interface on the South Western Highway

Disadvantages

- Potentially two crossings over the rail alignment, resulting in the construction of an approximately 600m long structure or two individual structures with substantial retained or reinforced earth embankments, and approach roads;
- Portion of Marriott Road needs to be elevated to tie in with the new highway levels; and
- Significant road disruption to the existing South Western Highway during construction.

Option 3 - Realignment of the South West Main Line

Option 3 would entail re-alignment of the existing South West Main Line to the east of its current alignment to eliminate the requirement for significant earthworks & structures works as proposed in option 2 and to avoid affecting local dwelings, as stated in option 1.

The rail alignment would need to be diverted approximately 300 m to the east to avoid existing wetlands in the surrounding area. The minimum radii adopted for the rail alignment is 1200m, which allows for a line speed of 155 kph if maximum values were to be adopted. As in the previous two options, the spur line would be connected to the South West Main Line via two turnouts and a triangle formed to allow for rail traffic to access KSIA from both the north and south.

It would be necessary to alter the vertical alignment of the South Western Highway to allow for the road / rail grade separation (road over rail bridge), however as in option 1 this would be a single span bridge over a single line track, minimising the span of the structure as far as reasonably practical.

(Refer Appendix L for South West Main Line/Marriott Road Linkage Option 3)

Advantages

- Single span bridge over a single line rail track, minimising the span of the structure;
- Grade separated rail / road interface, minimising road and rail disruptions; and
- Minimal disruptions to local dwellings.

Disadvantages

- Requires the greatest amount of rail works in relation to the Main Line compared to options 1 and 2;
- Significant earth works would be required to the proposed South Western Highway to achieve the required overbridge structure height;
- Portion of Marriott Road needs to be elevated to tie in with the new highway levels; and
- Significant portion of private land to be acquired to the east of the existing South West Main Line.

3.2 Core Alignment

This section discusses the options for the internal rail alignment within the KSIA Core. Two options were considered:

- Siding
- Siding & Balloon Loop

3.2.1 Option A – Siding

KSIA Core Rail Alignment Option A proposes a rail spur on the eastern edge of Kemerton Road, running north of Wellesley Road and linked via Marriott Road to the South West Main Line. The concept utilises a relatively simple siding and run round loop on the south west corner of the Kemerton Road/Mitchell Road intersection (refer Appendix D for KSIA Core Rail Alignment - Option A).

The siding under Option A is approximately 1330m in length and a run-round loop has been designed to allow for single locomotive running to be adopted, giving greater flexibility in movement. Between the new siding and the loop it has been proposed that a minimum of 25m width of hard standing be provided to allow for loading and unloading of wagons. At the northern end of the siding, an additional straight length of approximately 60m has been included past the turnout to incorporate a head shunt. This will allow up to two locomotives to detach from an arriving train and run round to the rear of the train.

Although level crossings are not permitted on Brookfield Rail's infrastructure, it would be possible to provide level crossings within the core of KSIA, however it is recommended that a further study and risk assessment be carried to ensure suitability and efficiency of the proposed crossings. The following crossings would be required at the following locations.

• Occupational crossing to farmhouse;

- Campbell Road;
- Partridge Road;
- Bernbrooke Place; and
- Wellington Road.

Advantages

- Accommodates both freight and bulk train movements well;
- Loading/unloading of all materials in one central location;
- Preferable for a larger number of smaller lots, potentially serving many small industries;
- Allows the retention of large areas of significant land in the KSIA Core; and
- Requires less rail construction within the KSIA Core.

Disadvantages

- Not suited to one large industry;
- Interference with existing/proposed road and infrastructure crossings;
- Less efficient loading and unloading (requires shunting of wagons & locomotives) than option B, thus being less operationally efficient for the proposed client;
- Locomotive required for a shunting operation to be introduced in comparison with option B which would require one movement for bulk resources; and
- Interfaces with proposed roads within the Core would likely be level crossings

3.2.2 Option B – Siding with Balloon Loop

KSIA Core Rail Alignment Option B proposes a balloon loop at the northern end of the KSIA Core where bulk material such as coal and iron ore will be loaded and unloaded, in addition a siding would be provided to allow freight containers could be loaded/unloaded separately increasing capacity to the site.(Refer Appendix E for KSIA Core Rail Alignment Option B).

As with option A above it is assumed level crossings will be utilised within the KSIA Core, however it is recommended that a further study and risk assessment be carried to ensure suitability and efficiency of the proposed crossings

- Occupational crossing to farmhouse;
- Campbell Road;
- Partridge Road;
- Bernbrooke Place; and

Wellington Road.

Advantages

- Preferable for one large industry;
- More efficient in allowing loading and unloading of bulk materials whilst utilising the proposed siding for loading and unloading freight containers and cargo; and
- Eliminates the need for shunting through the loop.

Disadvantages

- Not suitable for large numbers of smaller industries;
- Interfaces with proposed roads within the KSIA Core would likely be level crossings;
- Sidings would require a run round as in option A, but for only for freight containers freeing the loop to handle all bulk resources; and
- Requires more rail to be constructed in the KSIA Core.

A sub-option B siding with balloon loop arrangement is presented (Refer Appendix F for KSIA Core Rail Alignment Option B – Fast Train Sub-Option). This sub-option will carry the same disadvantages & disadvantages as for the main Option B.

3.3 Comparison of route options

A summary of the key constraints and which route option they affect is presented in the table below.

Table 3-2.3 Constraints

Constraint	Option Affected
Structure Plan layout	Within KSIA
Existing Roads	Both
Wetlands	South West Main Line
South Western Hwy	South West Main Line
Australind Bypass	Fast Train
Narrow median strip	Fast Train
Land acquisition	Both
Dwellings	Both
Existing Industry	Fast Train

Opportunities

There were several opportunities that would prove advantageous to the KSIA rail spur that have been incorporated into the alignment design. The opportunities are listed in Table 3-2.

Table 3-2.4 Opportunities

Opportunity	Option Affected
Use Existing Marriot Road Reserve	South West Main Line
Utilise Fast Train Corridor	Fast Train
Utilise Australind Bypass median strip	South West Main Line
Connect to South West Main Line	South West Main Line

Comparison

Characteristics of Option 1 and Option 2 are summarised in Table 3-3. The length shown is the length of track to be constructed for that option.

Route	South West Main Line	Fast Train
Length of New Track	11,000m*	17,000m*
Structures	1 x Bridge (SWML/MR linkage option 1and 3) or 2 x Bridges (SWML/MR linkage option 2)	1 x Tunnel
Land Acquisition	Significant	Minor
Impacts	Wetlands	Existing roads
	Highway Existing Dwellings	Narrow median strip
	Existing Dwellings	Land acquisition
		Existing dwellings
		Existing infrastructure

Table 3-3 Comparison Summary

*Length of track required from Main Line connections

Each of the proposed alignment options has distinct advantages and disadvantages. Table 3-4, summarises the advantages and disadvantages of each route option. Tables 3-5 and 3-6 summarise the advantages and disadvantages of the South West Main Line/Marriot Road linkage and KSIA Core Alignment options respectively.

 Table 3-4
 Advantages and Disadvantages – Route Options

	Advantages	Disadvantages
South West Main Line	Utilises the existing Marriott Road rail reserve;	Grade separated junction at South Western Highway could be expensive;
	Infrastructure into Bunbury Port is well established and therefore it is assumed that it would require minimal infrastructure upgrades, allowing for minimal disruption to existing infrastructure and ensuring a more cost effective solution to existing routes; Grade separated junction over South Western Highway; and	Impacts on private property; Significant road diversion to the existing South Western Highway will be required. Capacity may not be adequate to accommodate all train movements and duplication may be required.
	Preferable for large industry utilising the	

	whole area	
Fast Train	Potential shorter travel route into Bunbury	Fast Train Line not yet built
	Port, however could potentially be the slowest travel time;	Not suited to more smaller individual and mixed industries utilising KSIA;
	Demonstrates an alternative option to the Marriott Road/South West Main Line link to Bunbury Port	Kemerton Road crossing required, with a significant associated cost;
	Grade separation of Kemerton Road will allow efficient rail and road movements.	Crossover with Marriott Road in undesirable location, close to industry access ways and high traffic volumes;
		Relies on suitable link via Old Coast Road to Bunbury Port;
		Possible disruptions to residential dwellings.

	Advantages	Disadvantages
Option 1 (Realignment of South Western	Single span bridge over a single line rail track, minimising the span of the structure; Grade separated rail / road interface over maior highway; and	Significant road deviation to the existing South Western Highway, to realign the South Western Highway once the structure is completed off line;
Highway)	Minimal road disruption to the existing South West Main Line (majority of the construction could take place off line), and	Significant earth works required for the proposed South Western Highway required to achieve the overbridge structure height;
	construction is completed	Portion of Marriott Road requires to be elevated to tie in with the new highway levels; and
		Significant portion of private land needs to be acquired to the west of the existing South West Main Line
Option 2 (Retain existing horizontal alignments)	Minimal rail works to the South West Main Line; Minimal land take requirements; Less disruptive to local properties; and Grade separated rail / road interface on	Potentially two crossings over the rail alignment, resulting in the construction of an approximately 600m long structure or two individual structures with substantial retained or reinforced earth embankments, and approach roads;
	the South Wester Highway	Portion of Marriott Road needs to be elevated to tie in with the new highway levels; and
		Significant road disruption to the existing South Western Highway during construction.
Option 3 (Realignment of the South	Single span bridge over a single line rail track, minimising the span of the structure; Grade separated rail / road interface.	Requires the greatest amount of rail works in relation to the Main Line compared to options 1 and 2;
West Main Line)	Minimal disruptions to local dwellings.	Significant earth works would be required to the proposed South Western Highway to achieve the required overbridge structure height;
		Portion of Marriott Road needs to be elevated to tie in with the new highway

Table 3-5 Advantages and Disadvantages – Marriott Road/South West Main Line link options

	levels; and
	Significant portion of private land to be acquired to the east of the existing South West Main Line.

	Advantages	Disadvantages
Option A (Siding)	Accommodates both freight and bulk train movements well; Loading/unloading of all materials in one central location; Preferable for more smaller lots, allowing for a lot of small industries; Allows the retention of large areas of significant land in the KSIA Core; and Requires less rail construction within the KSIA Core.	Not suited to one large industry; Interference with existing/proposed road and infrastructure crossings; Less efficient loading and unloading (requires shunting of wagons & locomotives) than option B, thus being less operationally efficient for the proposed client; Locomotive required for a shunting operation to be introduced in comparison with option B which would require one movement for bulk resources; and Interfaces with proposed roads within the Core would likely be level crossings
Option B (Siding + Balloon Loop)	Preferable for one large industry; More efficient in allowing loading and unloading of bulk materials whiles utilising the proposed siding for loading and unloading freight containers and cargo; and Eliminates the need for shunting through the loop.	Not suitable for lots of smaller industry's Interfaces with proposed roads within the KSIA Core would likely be level crossings; and Sidings would require a run round as in option A, but for only for freight containers freeing the loop to handle all bulk resources. Requires more rail to be constructed in the KSIA Core

Table 3-6 Advantages and Disadvantages – KSIA Core Alignment options

4. Summary of Rail Operations

4.1 Train Product Load Requirement

Table 4-1 shows the requirements for transport, handling and storage of materials of products that are proposed to be transported by rail.

Product	Transport	Handling	Storage
LPG	Tank	Reach Stacker	Transfer and store in protected tanks
Ethane	Tank	Reach Stacker	Transfer and store in protected tanks
Petrol	Tank	Dry break transfer equipment	Transfer to road vehicle
Timber (woodchips)	Hopper	Dump	Bunded stock pile
Alumina Powder	Hopper	Dump	
Synthetic Ammonia	Tank		Transfer and store in protected tanks
Coal	Hopper	Dump	Bunded stock pile
Sodium Chloride	Hopper		Transfer and store in Hoppers
Silica (quartz or quartzite)	Hopper	Dump	Bunded stock pile
Petroleum Coke	Hopper	Dump	Bunded stock pile
Chloride	Tank		Transfer and store in protected tanks
Rutile	Hopper		Bunded stock pile
Hydrochloric Acid	Tank		Transfer and store in protected tanks
Containers	Flat	Reach Stacker	Container stacks

Table 4-1: Product Requirements

4.2 **Proposed Operating Overview**

The KSIA Terminal should have appropriate operating protocols that ensure the safe operation of rail pickup and drop off movements within the sidings.

There will have to be two levels of operating protocols:

- 1. Those required for the internal operation within the yard; and
- 2. Those required at the interface point between KSIA and the Main South West Line.

4.3 Operation of Trains on the Arrival Road and within the KSIA Terminal

Outlined below are the protocols for the safe operation of trains on the Arrival Road and within the KSIA Terminal. The role and responsibilities of the KSIA Terminal Operations Manager is described and the protocols have been divided between those for arriving trains and those for departing trains.

4.3.1 Operations Manager

The KSIA Terminal Operations Manager (OM) will be responsible for safe rail operations within the KSIA sidings which not only affects the rail activities but also impacts on the safety of all personnel working within the KSIA precinct. The OM must ensure that rail movements within the sidings are managed in accordance with the accepted procedures.

4.4 Operating Process for the Management of Movements at the Interface of KSIA Siding

4.4.1 Management of Arriving Movements

All movements proceeding into KSIA sidings are signalled by the Brookfield Rail Network Controller onto the KSIA Terminal Arrival Road. The process for an arriving train is outlined in Section 4.4.2. A2A gate is located across the track into the KSIA sidings and is opened for rail movements by the OM. A notice board is to be provided for arriving movements at the entrance gates into the KSIA Terminal with the following wording: "contact KSIA Operations Manager prior to proceeding".

The driver of an arriving train must contact the KSIA Terminal Operations Manager prior to proceeding beyond this notice board. The driver must obtain details regarding the train's arrival into the KSIA Terminal and the arrangements within the yard. This is not required if the terminal is un-manned.

4.4.2 Shunting Movements

A signal will be located on the Arrival Road at the entrance of the KSIA Terminal for departing trains and is provided to ensure that a train does not depart the siding without authorisation. This signal will be set to proceed for shunting purposes. When a train is required to shunt out of the KSIA Terminal precinct the train crew must contact the Brookfield Rail Network Controller and advise that a shunt movement is about to occur. Provided there are no conflicting movements, the network controller will place a signal to proceed and the shunt movement may then take place. A notice board is to be provided at the exit from KSIA siding with the following wording: "contact Brookfield Rail Network Control prior to proceeding"

4.4.3 Departing Trains

The crew of a train movement wishing to depart the KSIA Terminal must first contact the Brookfield Rail Network Controller and provide the appropriate train details for the movement. Provided the departing train can be accepted, the network controller will advise the train crew and place a signal to proceed for the movement to commence onto the main line.

4.4.4 Track Maintenance Operations

Whenever it becomes necessary to perform track maintenance operations on the KSIA Terminal lead, the track maintenance supervisor must first contact the Brookfield Rail network controller and advise of the works. Should the works be significant enough to require the Terminal to be closed, then the track maintenance supervisor must book out the applicable entry point into the sidings. The Brookfield Rail Network Controller must set the applicable points away from the KSIA Terminal Arrival Road and place a blocking command on the points until advised that the Terminal entry is again safe for normal traffic. The track maintenance supervisor must also liaise with the KSIA Terminal Operations Manager and ensure that any rail operations within the KSIA sidings do not enter the maintenance works area. The derail devices blocks must be applied and locked preventing departure from the sidings.

4.5 Consultation and Accreditation

4.5.1 Risks

The establishment of a new rail terminal or set of rail sidings and allowing open access to rail operators requires the establishment of a safety management system including a risk register. The establishment of the KSIA Terminal does have associated risks that will require a risk assessment process to be undertaken and mitigation measures to be put in place that either remove or reduce the risk to an acceptable level event. One of the key risks within the KSIA Terminal will be the difficulty of ensuring the employment of competent operational personnel that are 'railway aware' and are suitably qualified for rail operations within a working rail environment.

4.5.2 Rail Operators

It is intended that the KSIA Terminal will be operated as an Open Access Terminal where rail operators will access the sidings on established schedules as arranged between the KSIA Terminal Operator and the rail operators. The OM will provide time allocations to rail operators that allow access to the sidings that will then allow the rail operators to seek a train path from Brookfield Rail. It is not intended to provide shunt qualified staff at the KSIA siding and the rail operators will be responsible for the detaching and attaching of locomotives to the trains that arrive and depart. The sidings are structured to facilitate block train operations. Any train remarshalling requirements, should not be undertaken within the KSIA sidings unless there is an agreement with the OM.

4.5.3 KSIA Terminal Operations Manager

The KSIA Terminal Operator should have the KSIA Terminal Operations Manager on duty for the arrival, departure and duration of all rail activities within the siding. This person will most likely be a supervisor who will be multi-tasked to perform other duties outside of the rail task within the terminal. The OM need not be qualified as a shunter and as such will not perform any shunting tasks, including attaching and detaching of locomotives and or wagons within the sidings.

The Operations Manager must be qualified in the appropriate track awareness level suitable for operating within rail sidings and with additional competencies in:

• Operation of the hand points within sidings, and setting of the points for arriving and departing trains;

- Operation of the hand locking bars at the entry points of the sidings;
- Operation of the gates for the arrival and departure of trains;
- Operation of the derail devices for the arrival and departure of trains;
- Operation of warning devices during rail activities;
- Operating protocols for the operation of trains within the sidings, both internal and external;
- Communication protocols with train crews and train control;
- Emergency management procedures for rail activities within the KSIA rail sidings and within the KSIA precinct overall; and
- Any other rail safety related activities including the supervision of activities within the sidings during rail operations.

4.5.4 Maintenance

KSIA must have a maintenance regime in place that ensures that the sidings remain 'fit for purpose' for sidings activities at all times. Appropriate consultative processes with affected stakeholders should be arranged.

4.5.5 Management of the Sidings

In line with the requirements, KSIA must establish a safety management system that provides for safe rail operations within the sidings.

The safety management system should include:

- An interface co-ordination plan detailing the operations of the siding, the maintenance regime and the conditions of access for rail operators using the sidings;
- An Interface agreement with KSIA and the Brookfield Rail;
- An incident management plan for rail operations within the siding;
- A competency based training process for rail workers, which must be undertaken and reviewed biannually;
- Any other requirement in accordance with the Railway Safety Act 2006 as directed by the safety director.

KSIA will arrange appropriate risk assessments covering the commissioning and the operations of the new sidings and ensure that all affected stakeholders participate in the risk establishment process and will put in place mitigation measures for all identified risks.

4.5.6 Construction and Commissioning Processes

During the construction phase of the sidings there will be minimal impacts on current rail operations on the Brookfield Rail main line. The KSIA siding will be commissioned in line with Brookfield Rail requirements. KSIA will ensure that all regulatory requirements are in place well prior to the commissioning of the new sidings and appropriate approvals have been obtained.

4.5.7 Train Notices and Advices

In line with Brookfield Rail processes, KSIA will arrange the issue of the appropriate train notices and advices to Brookfield Rail in a timely fashion. Ensuring that all affected stakeholders are advised of any changes, or when any access to the Brookfield Rail operated track is required for the works.

5. Stakeholder Consultation

5.1 Brookfield Rail

A meeting was held with Brookfield Rail representatives (Lisa Allsopp, Christian Guest, Paul Hamersley and Ivan Ursic) on 23 January 2012 to discuss KSIA rail planning. Points raised during that meeting were:

- No issues and concerns were raised by Brookfield Rail with regard to the proposed concept rail alignment for KSIA;
- Brookfield Rail advised that the most efficient rail management system is where Brookfield manages the mainline, PTA manages the line following the Fast Train alignment and a private entity would manage rail through KSIA; and
- A Brookfield signalling system will be used throughout the rail line.

A copy of the minutes is included in Appendix N.

5.2 Main Roads WA

Main Roads representatives Gerry Zoetelief, Lou Pilandri and Peter Bromley attended a meeting with representatives of GHD and LandCorp to discuss the interfaces of the proposed KSIA rail line and main roads. The meeting was held on 2 April 2012. Issues raised by the Main Roads representatives were:

- Level crossings controlled by Main Roads;
- Level crossings should accommodate longest vehicle between level crossing and intersection;
- Level crossings need to be shown in detail;
- > The median near the Port of Bunbury cannot accommodate another rail line; and
- Intersections near the port are very problematic.

Overall, Main Roads representatives were of the opinion that the proposed infrastructure associated for the KSIA rail line is feasible. A copy of the minutes is included in Appendix N.

5.3 Shire of Harvey

A meeting with representatives of the Shire of Harvey (SoH) was held on 2 April 2012, The SoH was represented by Simon Hall and Cecil Hensley. Issues raised by the SoH representatives were:

- Land acquisitions and dwelling relocations may have a large impact on the project if South Western Highway is re-aligned;
- "Big players" required to initiate and fund construction of the proposed rail line;
- Possibility of using proposed rail lines for local passenger traffic;
- Concerns regarding houses lying within the proposed rail corridor;
- Land acquisition and dwelling re-location may slow or halt proposal; and
- Shire of Harvey will relay future plans to the public.

A copy of the minutes is included in Appendix N.

5.4 PTA, Brookfield Rail and Department of State Development

A meeting was held on 12 September 2012 to present the 3 options for alignment of the proposed Kemerton Spur at the junction with the South West Main Line in the vicinity of the South Western Highway. Representatives of the Department of State Development, Landcorp, Brookfield Rail, PTA and GHD attended the meeting.

Agreement was reached in the meeting that preferred option is that which involves regrading the South Western Highway to pass over the proposed Kemerton Spur railway on two bridges as detailed in 3.3.1 and shown in drawing 61-27426-C028 (Appendix K). Issues raised during the meeting were:

- Landcorp do not intend the spur to be constructed at this time but require that land be bought or reserved;
- Brookfield Rail has a policy of not introducing additional level crossings and required that any crossing be grade separated;
- Noted that the layout does not yet address possible operational issues;
- One leg of the triangular junction may not be required;
- Facility may operate in a similar fashion to the Kwinana freight facilities;
- Brookfield Rail requested details of the limits of their operations;
- Normal PTA requirement for rail reserve is 40m;
- > PTA requested clarification of limit of their liability; and
- Landcorp own most of land required for construction of proposed spur except in the vicinity of the junction with the mainline.

A copy of the minutes is included in Appendix N.
6. Further Studies

6.1 KSIA Road Infrastructure

This report considered only the design of the interface where the proposed rail alignment intersected certain roads within KSIA. GHD suggests further study and analysis of the roads within the KSIA Core. This includes Marriott, Paradise and Moore Roads. A possible grade separation may be required at the Marriott Road intersection. A study and review of the roads in the KSIA Core will need to be undertaken and a fully integrated road and rail plan needs to be developed to minimise traffic delays in the area. Further details on crossings need to be provided and examined leading up to construction as part of this study. Further studies will need to consider the Brookfield Rail requirement that no new level crossings should be introduced into their network.

6.2 Land Access

In addition to the need to consider grade separation in the road and rail crossing points, there will be a need to determine treatments where the rail alignment affects access from existing infrastructure onto properties where the rail line will effectively form a barrier to that access or the rail alignment bisects a parcel of land and access is required between the separated sections of land. Consideration will need to be given to the Brookfield Rail requirement that no new level crossings should be introduced into their network.

6.3 Road Infrastructure and Fast Train Alignment

As part of the feasibility studies for the South West Main Line route, an interchange ramp needs to be considered at the point where the proposed freight line connects with the existing South West Main Line near chainage 28000m. Similarly for the Fast Train route, GHD suggests that the feasibility of having both the Fast Train Line and the freight line to KSIA within the Australind Bypass median strip needs to be further investigated. Furthermore, study and analysis of roads affected along the proposed Fast Train alignment may also be required.

6.4 Noise

LandCorp advised GHD that any residence within 100m of the proposed KSIA spur line would be required to have a noise study carried out prior to final design.

The following residences were identified as being within 100m of the proposed spur line alignment for Option 1:

- Farmhouse at approximate chainage 5500m.
- Farmhouse at approximate chainage 6100m.

The following residences were identified as being within 100m of the proposed re-alignment of the South Western Highway as part of Option 1:

- Farmhouse at highway approximate chainage 1400m.
- Farmhouse at highway approximate chainage 21500m.

6.5 Additional Further Studies

In addition to those listed above, the following studies should be carried out at the next stage of the project:

- Aboriginal heritage study;
- Environmental surveys & studies;
- Geotechnical study (including testing for acid sulfate soils);
- Drainage and flood study;
- Risk assessments on proposed level crossings within the core;
- Train operations study focusing on the South West Main Line; and
- Road planning & operations study.

7. Summary and Recommendations

As described in section 1 of the report, the objective of this study is to identify suitable rail alignment options to service the Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area (KSIA) and define the associated land use issues. In general there appears to be no major impediment to introducing a rail service to the KSIA and this in part, is due to the current flexibility associated with the large areas of undeveloped land.

This study confirms that several rail alignment options can be developed to adequately service the operations of the KSIA. In this respect, the following recommendations arise from the study:

- The preferred alignment into Bunbury Port remains via the South West Main Line option as described in section 3.1.2. It is considered that whilst the Fast Train Route offers a shorter distance of travel, the establishment of new rail infrastructure and additional land purchasing will require significantly more investment than utilising the existing infrastructure than the South West Main Line. In addition, travelling through the Kemerton Buffer should be avoided because it erodes the functionality of the Buffer.
- 2. The preferred linkage onto the South West Main Line from the KSIA Core is via the existing South Western Highway on its current horizontal alignment (Option 2) because it involves minimal rail works to the South West Main Line, Minimal land take requirements, less disruption to nearby landholdings and residences, and provides a grade separation between the South West Main Line and the South Western Highway, However this should be revisited closer to the time of construction to assess the design and cost of the proposed structure required.
- 3. The favoured KSIA Core design to be incorporated into the Structure Plan is the siding only option (option A) as thisoption services the entire KSIA without interfering with the fundamental operations of the KSIA Core and is located in a space of the Core that is central, thereby in proximity to service the majority of industries if the intent is to utilise the industry area with many smaller industry's. Notwithstanding this however, should an industrial proponent demand a large proportion of the central key area of the Core, the balloon loop and sidings option (option B) could be incorporated into the development at the next phase of design.

Further investigation are necessary at the next phase of the project as described in section 6.5 to evaluate the options in more detail. The main studies which should be considered are a noise impact assessment for all dwellings being closer than 100m to the proposed rail or road alterations. In addition a risk assessment should be carried out to determine the safety and efficiency of the proposed level crossings within the KSIA Core.

Although the designs presented within this report offer preferred options and meet relevant design standards, the designs are conceptual and may change according to the specific needs of industrial proponents, the conclusions of this report should be revisited once the further studies have been conducted to ensure the proposed options meet the requirements of the project.

Appendix A

Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area Location Plan



awing No.: 2117168A-GIS-F017 LandCo	68A-GIS-F017	21171	Drawing No.		1	
vision: B Date: 01/12/2011 Kemerton Industrial Park Environmental Management P	Date: 01/12/2011	В	Revision:		DD	T. A.T.
awn By: SH Checked by: AK/JS	Checked by: AK/JS	SH	Drawn By:	m	125	LANDCORP
Site locat	<u> </u>			Scale: 1:100,000 at A4	YEARS	
urce: Landgate SLIP, PB (2010)	LIP, PB (2010)	lgate SI	Source: Land	Coord. System.: MGA50 GDA94	•	
bes/21171684 GIS E017 B myd	Bmyd	GIS E017	Sketches\21171684	INDUSTRIAL PARK ENIVINIO GIS/Projects/Drawings Figures	dcorp\2117168A KEMERTON	GIS File: \\ALIPERE\proi\\ \Lan

Appendix B

Draft Kemerton Strategic Industrial Area Structure Plan



Appendix C Greater Bunbury Infrastructure Plan



Appendix D Kemerton Core Rail Alignment – Option A



В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	РВ	20.03.15						
Α	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			1.5.12						
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date						



GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

DO NOT SCALE

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

Scale	AS SHOWN	This I used signe	Drawing must not be for Construction unless d as Approved	Original Size	Drawing No:	Rev: B
Approvec (Project [Date	1 Director)			The	KSIA CORE RAIL ALIGINMENT - OPTION A	
Drafting Check	E.WRIGHT	Design Check	E.WRIGHT	Project	KEMERTON STRATEGIC INDUSTRIAL AREA	
Drawn	B.YAO	Designer	B.YAO	Client	LANDCORP	



SCALE 1:10,000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE

Appendix E Kemerton Core Rail Alignment – Option B



		Т	T	 	I
В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15
A	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			1.5.12
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date
	Deleteration and DM Diation has The Manual	<u>^</u> -		0 104104 7 04	



GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

DO NOT SCALE

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

Scale	AS SHOWN	This D used f signed	Drawing must not be for Construction unless d as Approved	Original Size	Drawing No:	Rev: B
Approve Project Date	d Director)			Title	KSIA CORE RAIL ALIGNMENT - OPTION B TO SOUTH WEST MAIN LINE	
Drafting Check	E.WRIGHT	Design Check	E.WRIGHT	Project	KEMERTON STRATEGIC INDUSTRIAL AREA	
Drawn	B.YAO	Designer	V.SINAGRA	Client	LANDCORP	
-	D VAO	D		Client	LANDCODD	



0	1()0	20)0	30	00	4(00	5	00m
		1.1	0.00			DIC		LC		ł
30	ALE	1:1	0,00	JU <i>F</i>	41.0	RIC	TINA	LS	ZE	

Appendix F

Kemerton Core Rail Alignment – Option B, (Fast Train Sub-Option)



В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15
А	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			1.5.12
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date
	Deleted by Territoria	0-		O 104104700	



GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

DO NOT SCALE

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

Drawn	B.YAO	Designer	V.SINAGRA	Client	LANDCORP	
Drafting Check	E.WRIGHT	Design Check	E.WRIGHT	Project	KEMERTON STRATEGIC INDUSTRIAL AREA	
Approved Project E Date	l Director)			Title	KSIA CORE RAIL ALIGNMENT - OPTION B TO OLD COAST ROAD / AUSTRALIND BYPASS	
Scale	AS SHOWN	This I used signe	Drawing must not be for Construction unless d as Approved	Original Size	Drawing No:	Rev: B



SCALE 1:10,000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE

Appendix G Route Option: South West Main Line Option



SCALE 1:50,000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE



Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

Drawn Job Project Manager Director Date **Drawing Revisions** Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing

LEGEND PROPOSED FAST RAIL PROPOSED FREIGHT RAIL PROPOSED HWY DEVIATION EXISTING FREIGHT RAIL

Appendix H Draft Greater Bunbury Strategy



Appendix I Route Option: Fast Train Option



SCALE 1:50,000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE





LEGEND PROPOSED FAST RAIL PROPOSED FREIGHT RAIL **PROPOSED HWY DEVIATION** EXISTING FREIGHT RAIL

Appendix J

South West Main Line/Marriot Road Linkage – Option 1

						VERTICAL 1:200 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	0 2 4 6 8 10m				
						HORIZONTAL 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	0 20 40 60 80 100m				
В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15		0 20 40 60 80 100m				
Α	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12						
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date		SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE				
Plot	Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:41 PM Plotted by: Tom Nguyen Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C025.dwg										

DATUM 20.0																				_				
+CUT/-FILL			-0.001	-0.112	-0.150 -	-0.497	-0.495	-0.494	-0.493	-0.491	-0.638	-0.555	-0.726	-0.530	-0.632	-0.483	-0.482	0 344	-	-0.169	0.022 -	0.024 -	0.316 -	0 504
		I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I		I		I	I	I	I	
DESIGN ON MC1D	25.004	25.002	25.001	25.000	24.998	24.997	24.996	24.994	24.993	24.992	24.990	24.989	24.988	24.986	24.985	24.984	24.982	24.084	24.301	24.980	24.978	24.977	24.976	N 07A
		1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	1	1	
EXIST ON MC1D (XC1D)	000.666-	-999.000	25.000	24.888	24.849	24.500	24.500	24.500	24.500	24.500	24.352	24.434	24.262	24.457	24.354	24.500	24.500	77 637	24.03/	24.811	25.000	25.000	25.292	7E A78
											1					1						I		
CHAINAGE ON MC1D	1000					1100					1200					1300				1360.751		1400		
									D=136	0.751														
HORIZ. ALIGN MC1D																				-				
VERT. ALIGN MC1D																								
SUPERELEVATION																								



THE THE THE THE THE THE PARTY OF THE THE







LONGITUDINAL SECTION - MC1D

SCALE : HORZ 1:2000, VERT 1:200



				CONCEPT
GS	Client	LANDCORF)	
	Project	KEMERTON	I INDUSTRIAL PARK	
	Title	PROPOSED	SOUTH WEST HIGHWAY	DEVIATION
		CHA 1000 -	CHA 2400	
be Inless	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C025	Rev: B

CONCEDT



						VERTICAL 1:200 AT ORIGINAL SIZE HORIZONTAL 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE		2 2 20	4	6 	8	10m	
В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15		0	20	40	60	80	100m	
А	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12		Ē	Ĩ					
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date		SC	CALE 1:2	2000 AT	origi	NAL SI	ZE	

Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:47 PM

Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C026.dwg





E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

DO NOT SCALE Drawn S. GEERLINGS Designer S. GEERLINGS Client Drafting Check Design Check Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who Approved (Project Director) GHD has agreed can use this document) Date for the purpose for which it was prepared This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved and must not be used by any other Scale AS SHOWN person or for any other purpose.

CONCEPT

LANDCORP Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK **PROPOSED SOUTH WEST HIGHWAY DEVIATION** Title CHA 2400 - CHA 3800 Original Size Drawing No: 61-27426-C026 A1

0.146 0.176 27.060 27.139

CHA: 3728.810 K: -39860/100

0.000%

Appendix K South West Main Line/Marriot Road Linkage – Option 2





						0	20	40	60	80
							SCALE 1	:2000 A	AT ORIGI	NAL SIZE
В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15					
А	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12					
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date					

Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:51 PM

Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C028.dwg

PLAN SCALE: 1:2000



Appendix L

South West Main Line/Marriot Road Linkage – Option 3





							0 25 50 75 100 125m SCALE 1:2500 AT ORIGINAL SIZE
	В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15	
	А	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12	
1	No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date	

Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:53 PM

Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C029.dwg



PLAN SCALE: 1:2500





GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

Conditions of Use	Drafting Check		Design Check
This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approve (Project Date	ed Director)	
for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale	1:2500m	This used signe

Drawn R. PACIENTE

DO NOT SCALE

CONCEPT LANDCORP Designer A. MANSFIELD Client Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK PROPOSED SOUTH WESTERN HIGHWAY REGRADING Title AND SOUTH WEST MAIN LINE DEVIATION This Drawing must not be sed for Construction unless igned as Approved A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C029 Rev: **B**

Appendix M Agreed Rail Line PTA/Brookfield





						0	20	40	60	80
							SCALE 1	:2000 A	AT ORIGI	NAL SIZE
В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15					
А	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12					
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date					

Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:51 PM

Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C028.dwg

PLAN SCALE: 1:2000



Appendix N Minutes from Stakeholder Consultation



Minutes

23 January 2012

Project	Rail Planning Kemerton Industrial Park	From	Ezzeddine Tayari
Subject	Concept Plan - Rail Impacts	Tel	08 6222 8238
Venue/Date/Time	Brookfield Rail Office / 23/01/2012 / 9:00 AM	Job No	6127426
Copies to		4,14.2	
Attendees	LA: Lisa Allsopp (BR)		
	PH: Paul Hamersley (BR)		
	IU : Ivan Ursic (BR)		
	CG : Christian Guest (BR)		
	ET: Ezzeddine Tayari (GHD)		

Minutes

A briefing of the GHD proposed rail alignment was given to Brookfield Rail. PH has highlighted that the main line will be upgraded to increase the capacity. The increase of the capacity likely will happen before the construction of the Kemerton Park track, hence no concerns has been raised regarding the congestion of the mainline.

Details of our concept plan were conveyed. No issues and concerns were raised in regards to the proposed concept rail alignment. Brookfield Rail has advised that the most efficient rail management system is where Brookfield manages the mainline, PTA manages the line following the fast train and a private entity manages rail through the park. A Brookfield signalling system will be used throughout the rail line.

Ezzeddine Tayari

JobNumber /DocNumber

GHD Pty Ltd 11/F Alphaland Southgate Tower 2258 Chino Roces Avenue Makati City 1232 Metro Manila Philippines T 63 2 479 5600 F 63 2 479 5601 E mnlmail@ghd.com W www.ghd.com



Minutes

02 April 2012

Project	Kemerton Industrial Park	From	Tom Nguyen
Subject	Concept Planning - Social Impacts	Tel	(08) 6222 8770
Venue/Date/Time	Shire of Harvey Office / 02/04/2012 / 11:00 AM	Job No	6127426
Copies to	Shire of Harvey		
	GHD Pty Ltd		
4	LandCorp		
Attendees	JR: Jonathan Roach (LandCorp)	Apologies	Theo Naude (Shire of
	ET: Ezzeddine Tayari (GHD)		Harvey)
	TN: Tom Nguyen (GHD)		
	SH: Simon Hall (Shire of Harvey)		
	Cecil Hensley (Shire of Harvey)		

Minutes

ET gave briefing to options of rail alignment proposed, and the preferred option that will be implemented. Details of our concept plan were conveyed.

SH pointed out the road reserves and he land use that is needed for the proposed alignment. TN and SH both agreed that cost of constructing two bridges and keeping the same alignment of the road compared to deviation of the road bridge over the rails for the South Western Highway intersection may be more cost effective. Reasons were land acquisitions and dwelling relocations may have a huge impact to the overall cost of the project if our preferred option was to be approved.

Perth to Bunbury fast train linkage option was also discussed and details were conveyed.

JR and SH discussed the main clients who will be using the rail once constructed. JR stated that 'big players' was needed to kick off and fund for the construction. It was mentioned that Brookefield will not help lead the project, but are willing to maintain the tracks once it was up and running.

JR stated that a structure plan report will be derived, which will then be passed on to other members associated with this project.

SH asks if it is feasible to combine both proposed freight lines with passengers, therefore making the tracks to accommodate for people within the area. This option will be looked into.

Main points that Shire of Harvey are concerned with are the houses that lie on the path of the concept design plans, in which case the cost of land acquisitions and dwelling relocations will be significant and hence may slow or even halt the project plans. Shire of Harvey will be relay future plans to the public.

Tom Nguyen

Rail & Transport Engineer

JobNumber / XXXXXXXX

GHD Pty Ltd 11/F Alphaland Southgate Tower 2258 Chino Roces Avenue Makati City 1232 Metro Manila Philippines T 63 2 479 5600 F 63 2 479 5601 E mnlmail@ghd.com W www.ghd.com



Minutes

04 April 2012

Project	Rail Planning Kemerton Industrial Park	From	Tom Nguyen
Subject	Concept Plan - Road Impacts	Tel	08 6222 8770
Venue/Date/Time	Main Roads Bunbury Office / 02/04/2012 / 2:00 PM	Job No	6127426
Copies to	Shire of Harvey		
	GHD Pty Ltd		
	LandCorp		
Attendees	JR: Jonathan Roach (LandCorp)		
	ET: Ezzeddine Tayari (GHD)		
	TN: Tom Nguyen (GHD)		
	GZ: Gerry Zoetelief (MR)		
	LP : Lou Pilandri (MR)		
	PB : Peter Bromley (MR)		

Minutes

After briefing to Main Roads about concept plan, GZ mentions issues regarding a potential grade separation of Marriot Road, South Western Highway might possibly be rezoned. GZ has also mentioned the upgrade of the Main line to accommodate for dual carriage. LP stated that this the plan conveyed for Kemerton Industrial Park (KIP) can be done, and plans have not been locked down and certain. LP mentions that Main Roads will be interested in the Marriot Road during construction, and therefore design plans and construction near or involving Marriot Road will need to be shown. Marriot Road also needs to accommodate for high wide load.

LP has also stated that railway crossings will be controlled by Main Roads, and the rail crossings that will be constructed inside KIP that are near intersections will be a problem.

GZ informs that the median near the Bunbury port is not big enough to fit another rail track in. LP input relating to the port is that the intersections near the port areas are very problematic.

Other issues with KIP are the railway crossings, which need to be shown in detail within KIP and spaces before the wailway crossing will need to accommodate for the biggest vehicle.

Overall, Main Roads needs information regarding the future road plans, and the overall plans and will liaise with LandCorp.

Tom Nguyen

Rail & Transport Engineer

JobNumber /DocNumber

GHD Pty Ltd 11/F Alphaland Southgate Tower 2258 Chino Roces Avenue Makati City 1232 Metro Manila Philippines T 63 2 479 5600 F 63 2 479 5601 E mnlmail@ghd.com W www.ghd.com



12 September 2012

Project	Rail Planning Kemerton Industrial Park	From	Paul Breame
Subject	Rail Realignment Proposals at Junction with Main Line	Tel	61 8 6222 8187
Venue/Date/Time	Govt. of WA Department of State Development, 04/09/12, 10.00am	Job No	61/27426
Copiesto	All attendees		
Attendees	JR Jonathan Roach (Landcorp)	Apologies	None
	TG Tom Grigson (Dept of State Development)		
	SH Sharon Havenhand (Dept of State Development)		
	PH Paul Hamersley (Brookfield Rail)		
	LA Lisa Allsopp (Brookfield Rail)		
	PA Peter Arul (PTA)		
	MP Mark Pearce (PTA)		
	PB Paul Breame (GHD)		
Minutes			Action
2. Agreement	e on 3No. rail/highway alignment options at junctic Spur and Perth – Bunbury main line in vicinity of s lighway. Intention of options is to avoid disruption s along highway at the junction t reached that preferred option which involves reg	on of South to grading	Note
South We (represent	stem Highway to pass over railway on two bridges ed on drawing 61-27426-C028)	s	
3. JR stated constructe construction	that Landcorp do not intend for railway spur to be ad at present, rather Landcorp to buy/reserve land on	for later	
4 I A stated	Brookfield Rail policy is not to introduce additiona	al level ely to sever	
crossings blocks of l sections. (and & landowners will need to gain access betwe Grade separated accesses will need to be provide	en severed ed.	

GHD Pty Ltd ABN 39 006 488 373 GHD House 239 Adelaide Terrace Perth PO box 3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com



Minutes	Action
installed in its entirety when spur is constructed. One leg of the junction may not be required for initial operations. Alumina facility is likely to be serviced. Facility may operate in similar fashion to Kwinana freight facilities with numerous commodities moving in and out of industrial park.	
6. LA & PH requested detail of limits of Brookfield Rail operation. Exact limits are unclear at present and arrangements will be dependent on whether facilities are single-user or multi-user. If latter, limits likely to be at first diverging junction, with private sidings beyond (non-signal controlled & maintained by user)	
 PA suggested normal PTA requirements for rail reserve is 40m width ("100 feet"). PB to confirm. 	РВ
 PA asked for clarification of limits of liabilities for PTA as state asset owner (i.e. which sections will be state owned, which will be private sidings) 	JR
JR stated that Landcorp own most of land required to build main sine of rail spur but do not own land in vicinity of junction onto main line.	

Paul Breame

Principal Rail Engineer (Structures)

61/27426/125644
Appendix O
Design Drawings

LANDCORP **KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK** 61-27426 DRAWING LIST DRG No.





LOCALITY PLAN NOT TO SCALE

В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15
Α	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date

Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:38 PM

Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C001.dwg

1-27426-C001	LOCALIT
1-27426-C005	TYPICAL
1-27426-C010	SPUR LI
1-27426-C011	SPUR LI
1-27426-C012	SPUR LI
1-27426-C013	SPUR LI
1-27426-C014	SPUR LI
1-27426-C015	SPUR LI
1-27426-C016	SPUR LI
1-27426-C017	SPUR LI
1-27426-C020	LOOP LI
1-27426-C021	LOOP LI
1-27426-C022	LOOP LI
1-27426-C023	LOOP LI
1-27426-C025	PROPOS
1-27426-C026	PROPOS
1-27426-C027	PROPOS
1-27426-C028	MAINTAI
1-27426-C030	CROSS
1-27426-C031	CROSS
1-27426-C032	CROSS
1-27426-C033	CROSS
1-27426-C034	CROSS
1-27426-C035	CROSS
1-27426-C036	CROSS
1-27426-C037	CROSS
1-27426-C038	CROSS
1-27426-C039	CROSS
1-27426-C040	CROSS
1-27426-C041	CROSS
1-27426-C042	CROSS
1-27426-C043	CROSS
1-27426-C044	CROSS
1-27426-C045	CROSS
1-27426-C046	CROSS
1-27426-C047	CROSS
1-27426-C055	CROSS
1-27426-C056	CROSS
1-27426-C057	CROSS
1-27426-C058	CROSS
1-27426-C059	CROSS
1-27426-C060	CROSS
1-27426-C061	CROSS
1-27426-C065	CROSS
1-27426-0066	CROSS
1-27426-0.067	C.R.O.S.C.
1-27426-0.068	CROSS
1-27426-0069	

X	
LANDCORP	



GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

DO NOT SCALE	Drawn	A. HORTON	Designer S. GEER
Conditions of Use	Drafting Check		Design Check
This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approve (Project Date	d Director)	
and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale	AS SHOWN	This Drawing mus used for Construct signed as Approve





DRAWING TITLE TY PLAN AND DRAWING INDEX CROSS SECTIONS INE - CHA 0 - CHA 1400 INE - CHA 1400 - CHA 2800 INE - CHA 2800 - CHA 4200 INE - CHA 4200 - CHA 5600 INE - CHA 5600 - CHA 7000 INE - CHA 7000 - CHA 8400 INE - CHA 8400 - CHA 9800 INE - CHA 9800 - CHA 11000 INE - CHA 0 - CHA 600 INE - CHA 600 - CHA 2000 NE - CHA 2000 - CHA 3400 INE - CHA 0 - CHA 600 SED SOUTH WESTERN HIGHWAY DEVIATION SED SOUTH WESTERN HIGHWAY DEVIATION SED SOUTH WESTERN HIGHWAY DEVIATION IN EXISTING ALIGNMENT SECTIONS MCGR CHA 0 - CHA 800 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 450 - CHA 1250 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 1300 - CHA 1950 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 2000 - CHA 2650 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 2700 - CHA 3300 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 3350 - CHA 3950 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 4000 - CHA 4650 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 4700 - CHA 5350 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 5400 - CHA 6000 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 6050 - CHA 6600 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 6650 - CHA 7150 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 7200 - CHA 7750 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 7800 - CHA 8350 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 8400 - CHA 9100 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 9150 - CHA 9800 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 9850 - CHA 10450 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 10500 - CHA 11000 SECTIONS MCGR CHA 11000 - CHA 11200 SECTIONS MCER CHA 0 - CHA 650 SECTIONS MCER CHA 700 - CHA 1300 SECTIONS MCER CHA 1350 - CHA 2050 SECTIONS MCER CHA 2100 - CHA 2800 SECTIONS MCER CHA 2850 - CHA 3400 SECTIONS MCER CHA 3450 - CHA 4150 SECTIONS MCER CHA 4200 - CHA 4260 SECTIONS MC1D CHA 1040 - CHA 1650 SECTIONS MC1D CHA 1700 - CHA 2350 SECTIONS MC1D CHA 2400 - CHA 2850 SECTIONS MC1D CHA 2900 - CHA 3500 SECTIONS MC1D CHA 3550 - CHA 3750





/												3			July 1	JUNCT		EXIS SOUT	TING S TH-WE	INGLE ST RAII	BI-DIRE		L
NOTE: EXACT T LOCATION ON E RAILWAY TO BE AT PRELIMINAR		IEW 1 INS	IN 14 RI STALLED		AND TU EXIST	RNOUT AIGHT C ING RA	TO BE DF THE ILWAY.			South Million	MESTERN - 100	HIGHWAY 2.80							000.05		WE	STER	
			FROM	CON PERIT					5					R		/			500	2	21 116-1		
	* 					0.	000%						ALLAN TO A	AROPC									
DATUM 18.0						0.	000%						A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	AROPC AROPC									
DATUM 18.0 +CUT/-FILL	-0.070	00000	-0.500	-0.500	-0.500	0.000	-0.500	-0:500	-0.994	-0.977	-0.948		-0-026-0-	-1.298	-1:302	-1.623	-2.022	-2.557	-1.289	-0.169	-0.223	-0.929	
DATUM 18.0 +CUT/-FILL DESIGN ON MCGR	27.000 -0.070	27.000 - 0.000	27.0000.500	27.0000.500	27.0000.500	27.0000.5000.500	27.0000.5000.500	27.0000.5000.500	26.9950.994	26.9770.9770.977	26.9480.9480.948	26.9070.9070.907	26.8540.9500.950	26.7891.298	26.712 -1.302	26.6231.623	26.5232.022	26.4102.557	26.2901.289	26.1700.169	26.0500.2230.223	25.9300.929 -	
DATUM 18.0 +CUT/-FILL DESIGN ON MCGR EXIST ON MCGR (XCGR)	26.930 27.000 -0.070	27.000 - 27.000 - 0.000	26.500 - 27.0000.5000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.5000.5000.5000.5000.5000.5000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.50000.5000	26.000 - 26.9950.994	26.000 - 26.9770.9770.977	26.000 - 26.9480.9480.948	26.000 - 26.9070.9070.907	25.905 - 26.8540.950	25.492 - 26.7891.298	25.411 - 26.7121.3021.302	25.000 - 26.6231.623	24.500 - 26.5232.022	23.853 - 26.4102.5572.557	25.000 - 26.2901.289	26.000 - 26.1700.169	25.827 - 26.0500.223	25.000 - 25.9300.9290.929	
DATUM 18.0 +CUT/-FILL DESIGN ON MCGR EXIST ON MCGR (XCGR) CHAINAGE ON MCGR	26.930 27.000 -0.070	27.000 - 27.000 - 0.000	26.500 - 27.0000.5000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.500	00 - 26.500 - 27.0000.50000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.5000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.5000.500	26.000 - 26.9950.994	26.000 - 26.9770.9770.977	8.801 = 26.000 - 26.9480	3.901 - 26.000 - 26.9070.9070.907	7.004 - 25.905 - 26.8540.950	25.492 - 26.7891.298	7.004 - 25.411 - 26.7121.3021.302	00 - 25.000 - 26.6231.6231.623	24.500 - 26.5232.022	23.853 - 26.4102.557	25.000 - 26.2901.289	26.000 - 26.1700.1690.169		25.000 - 25.9300.929	
DATUM 18.0 +CUT/-FILL DESIGN ON MCGR EXIST ON MCGR (XCGR) CHAINAGE ON MCGR HORIZ. ALIGN MCGR	0 26.930 27.000 -0.070	27.000 - 27.000 - 0.000	26.500 - 27.0000.5000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.5000.	100 - 26.5000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.5000.	26:5000.	26.000 - 26.9950.994	26.000 - 26.9770.9770.977	$\frac{1}{200} = 26.000 - 26.9480.948 $		1 237.004 25.905 26.854 -0.950	25.492 - 26.7891.298		300 - 25.000 - 26.6231.623	24.500 - 26.5232.022		25.000 - 26.2901.289		400 - 25.827 - 26.0500.2230.223	25.000 - 25.9300.929	
DATUM 18.0 +CUT/-FILL DESIGN ON MCGR EXIST ON MCGR (XCGR) CHAINAGE ON MCGR HORIZ. ALIGN MCGR	0 26.930 27.000 -0.070	27.000 - 27.000 - 0.000	26.500 - 27.0000.50000.5000	56.5000.		100 - 26.5000.500	26.500 - 27.0000.500		26.000 - 26.9950.994		$\frac{1}{200}$		237.004 - 25.905 - 26.8540.950	25.492 - 26.7891.298	287.004 - 25.411 - 26.7121.3021.302	300 - 25.000 - 26.6231.623		23.853 - 26.4102.557	25.000 - 26.2901.289	26.000 - 26.1700.169	400 - 25.827 - 26.0500.223	25.000 - 25.9300.929	
DATUM 18.0 +CUT/-FILL DESIGN ON MCGR EXIST ON MCGR (XCGR) CHAINAGE ON MCGR HORIZ. ALIGN MCGR VERT. ALIGN MCGR VERT. ALIGN MCGR	0 26.930 27.000 -0.070	27.000 - 27.000 - 0.000	26.50000.5000	50.5000.			26.500 - 27.0000.500		26.000 - 26.9950.994	26.000 - 26.9770.9770.977	Image: Constraint of the second se	0000 - 50.00 -	227.004 - 26.8540.950 - 26.8540.950 - 25.905 - 26.8540.950 - 25.905 - 26.8540.950 - 27.004 - 27.005 - 27			300 - 25.000 - 26.6231.623			25.000 - 26.2901.289	26.000 - 26.1700.169	400 - 25.827 - 26.0500.223	25.000 - 25.9300.929	

						HORIZONTAL 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	0
В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15		0
А	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12		
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date		SC



Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:40 PM

Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C010.dwg



• 0 01 GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 LANDCORP E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

<∙

	R=			P=-0.329% L=558.153	-
				80km/hr	
				CONC	EPT
_	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLINGS	Client LANDCORP Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK	
	Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date		Title SPUR LINE CHA 0 - CHA 1400	
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved	^{Original Size} A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C010	Rev: B

				-	0.329%	1:303	8.95										
				_		_											
~	_	-				~		_		-		~	~		~	10	
307 (J.545).656).649).590).533	0.467	0.401	0.336	0.270	0.204	0.138	0.073	200.0	380.0	0.245	910 0
			<u>ү</u> ——	<u>ү</u>											Ч ——		
I			I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I		I	I	
367		967.	231	.165	660	.033	968	902	.836	.770	.705	639	573	508	.442	376	310
5	3 8	3	22	22	22	22	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21.	21	21	ć
I		1	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I			
254		40	575	515	009	200	000	00	000	000	00	000	000	009	353	131	206
310		71.7	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.5	21.3	21.	č
		I					1					1					
		-															~
		<u> </u>					20(300					40C
574	•						<u> </u>					<u> </u>					~



JOINS DRG No 61-27426-C010 MARRIOTT CHA 1400 009'980 LINE SPU MATCHLINE SCALE 1:2000 REFERENCE LINE MCGR EXISTING GROUND TOP OF FORMATION _ -0_329% 1 : 303.95 _ 0.000% -0.176% 1:568.18 DATUM 16.0 +CUT/-FILL 957 1 ã, DESIGN ON MCGR 21.245 29502 91502 0.631 0200 20.470 20.455 20.416 LING H 0.766 121.0 09200 0.517 020 0200 10200 0333 0000 20.256 20.194 0.159 0.124 50008 9000 8,963 81676 9.913 878.8 5,843 108'6 E 9.737 8.7g EXIST ON MCGR (XCGR) 21,500 8 150 150 31,38 8 8 8 R 11 3 ğ 8 2 CHAINAGE ON MCGR 80 D=1043.574 R=3035.500 L=74.766 CL=50.000 01=50.00 D=5%0.50 HORIZ. ALIGN MCGR R=60000 003 L= 197 189 000% 1 = 126755 VERT. ALIGN MCGR P=-0 329% L=558 152 P=-0 176% L= 1490 122 SUPERELEVATION LONGITUDINAL SECTION - MCGR SCALE HORZ 1:2000, VERT 1:200 DO NOT SCALE Drawn A. HORTON Designer S. GEERLINGS VERTICAL 1:200 AT ORIGINAL SIZE GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE Drafting Check Design Check HORIZONTAL 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE Approved (Project Director)

No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawn Job Manager Director

GHD House, 239 Adebide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3108 Perth WA 6832 Australa T 618 6222 E222 F 618 6222 E555 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE

Date

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHDS cleft and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose. Check[®] Approved (Project Director) Date Scale AS SHOWN



A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C011

This Drawing m used for Construsigned as Approx



SCALE: 1:2000

- REFERENCE LINE MCGR EXISTING GROUND



LANDCORP

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 1:47 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C012.dwg

No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing

Date

SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE

Drawn Job Project Manager Director



Drawing No: 61-27426-C012 A1

Date

Scale AS SHOWN

This Drawing must used for Construct signed as Approved







		SCALE: HORZ 1:2000, VERT 1:200			
	VERTICAL 1200 0 2 4 5 8 10m		DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLI
	AT ORIGINAL SIZE	GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE	Conditions of Lise	Drafting Check	Design Check
	AT ORIGINAL SIZE 0 20 40 60 80 100m 0 20 40 60 80 100m	GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box V3106 Parth WA 6832 Australia	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawin Manager Director Date	SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE LANDCORP	T 618 6222 8222 F 618 6222 8555 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must used for Construction signed as Approved
Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 1:51 PM Plotted by: Susan M Geerlings/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADE	\Drawings\61-27426-C014 dwg				

not be original Size A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C014









PLAN SCALE: 1:2000



be unless	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C017	Rev: A
	Title	SPUR LINE CHA 9800 -	CHA 11000	
GS	Client Project	LANDCORF	N INDUSTRIAL PARK	



PLAN SCALE: 1:2000



						LONGITUDINAL SECTION - MCER SCALE: HORZ 12000, VERT 1200			
				VERTICAL 1:200 0 2 4 6 8 10m			DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLING
		-		AT ORIGINAL SIZE	1	GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE	Conditions of the	Drafting Check	Design Check
				AT ORIGINAL SIZE 0 20 40 60 80 100m		GHD House, 239 Adelaide Toe Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3100 Parth WA 6832 Australia	Conditions of USE. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn M	Job I Ianager D	Project Director Date	SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	LANDCORP	T 61 6 022 8 022 F 61 8 022 8555 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not used for Construction u signed as Approved

PRELIMINARY

INGS	Client Project Title	LANDCORF KEMERTON LOOP LINE CHA 0 - CH) NINDUSTRIAL PARK - MCER A 600	
t not be tion unless	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-0020	Rev: A



		*	CORE HOLE 12000, VERT 1200			
	VERTICAL 1200 0 2 4 6	8 10m		DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLIN
	AT ORIGINAL SIZE HORIZONTAL 1:2000		GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE	Conditions of Line	Drafting Check	Design Check
	AT ORIGINAL SIZE 0 20 40 60	80 100m	GHD Hause 239 Adebide Top Parth WA 6004	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who	Approved (Project Director)	
			PO Box Y 3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 622 8222 F 61 8 622 855	GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared	Date	This Drawing must no
No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawn Job Proje Manager Direct	or Date SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGIN	AL SIZE LANDCORP	E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	used for Construction signed as Approved

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 1:49 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C021.dwg



						VERTICAL 1:200 0 2 4 6 8 10m AT ORIGINAL SIZE
						HORIZONTAL 1:2000 20 40 60 80 100m
В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15	0 20 40 60 80 100m
А	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12	
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date	SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE
				_		

Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:47 PM Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

SCALE : HORZ 1:2000, VERT 1:200





DO NOT SCALE	Drawn S. GEERLINGS	Designer S. GEERLIN
Conditions of Lise	Drafting Check	Design Check
This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not used for Construction signed as Approved

INGS Client LANDCORP Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK **PROPOSED SOUTH WEST HIGHWAY DEVIATION** Title CHA 2400 - CHA 3800 not be Original Size A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C026 n unless

1 1									_	_			— X	/	0.000%		
1 2007.27 4 2007.27 4 000666 000866 1 4 2007.27 4 681.07 4 681.07 4 1 4 681.07 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		_		_	-		_	$\overline{}$			_						
1 1	_		_														
3500 25814 - 25814 - 010 255.00 25.00 - 25.00 - 25.00 26.00 - 25.00 - 26.01 - 010 26.01 - 26.01 - 26.01 - 26.01 - 26.01 26.01 - 26.01 - 26.01 - 26.01 - 26.01 - 26.01 26.01 - 26.01 - 26.01 - 26.02 - 26.02 - 26.02 - 00.026 26.01 - 26.02 - 26.02 - 26.02 - 000.02 - 000.02 - 000.02 - 000.02 - 000.02 - 000.02 - 000.02 - 000.00 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 - 10.01 <																	
269772 - 6100 - 529772 - 0000666- 000866- 4910 - 52972 - 0000666- 0000666- 0000666- 9910 - 51932 - 681.92 - 0000666- 9910 - 51932 - 51932 - 0000666- 9910 - 51932 - 51932 - 000066- 9910 - 51932 - 51932 - 000066- 9910 - 51932 - 51932 - 000066- 9910 - 51932 - 51932 - 000066- 9910 - 51932 - 51932 - 000002 9910 - 51932 - 000052 00025 - 00025 R=2000.00011-894.405 - 51932 - 00025 - - R=2000.00011-894.405 - 51932 - - - - R=2000.0001-894.405 - - 51932																	
$= \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$																	
9110 - 250.72 - 000.0000 9110 - 11.632 - 0000.0000 9110 - 11.632 - 0000.0000 9110 - 11.632 - 0000.0000 9110 - 11.632 - 0000.0000 9110 - 11.632 - 0000.0000 9110 - 11.632 - 0000.0000 9110 - 11.632 - 0000.0000 9110 - 11.632 - 0000.0000 9110 - 11.632 - 0000.0000 9110 - 91.632 - 12.832 9110 - 91.932 - 12.832 9110 - 91.932 - 12.832 9110 - 91.932 - 000.925 9110 - 91.932 - 000.925 9110 - 91.932 - 000.925 9110 - 91.932 - 000.925 91100 - 91.932 - 000.926 811100 - 81.932 - 000.926 811100 - <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>I</td><td>1</td><td>I</td><td>1</td><td>I</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	1	1		I	1	I	1	I			1	1	1				
00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.615	.413	355	.114	101	.199	.392	.466	.348).281	.066	073	.146	.176			
 250122 2000666- 200666- 200666- 200666- 200666- 200666- 200666- 200666- 200666- 200666- <l< td=""><td></td><td>ې </td><td></td><td>• </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></l<>		ې 		• 													
CS0172 - 0000666- 267 - 25834 2000 - 25 267 - 25834 267 - 25834 267 - 25 267 - 20 267 - 25 267 - 25	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	
1 1	25.814	25.914	26.014	26.115	26.215	26.315	26.416	26.516	26.615	26.705	26.784	26.854	26.914	26.963	27.003	27.032	27.052
861:92 R=2000.000 L=894.405 R=39859.622 L=200.000 R=39859.622 L=200.000 R=39859.622 L=200.000 R=39859.622 L=200.000 R=39859.622 L=200.000 R=39859.622 L=200.000																	
91:52 92:52 93:52 94:52 95:522 95:52 9	88	00	69	00	15	15	2(33	33	35	12	12	00	65	00	0	00
000000000000000000000000000000000000	25.19	25.5(25.65	26.00	26.11	26.51	26.8(26.98	26.96	26.98	26.85	26.92	27.06	27.13)0.666)0 666	999.0(
00 00<		1					1					1			•	<u> </u>	•
R=2000.000 L=894.405 R=-39859.622 L=200.000		0					0					0					0
R=2000.000 L=894.405		350					360					370					380
R=-39859.622 L=200.000				D-1		-804 405											
R=-39859.622 L=200.000				N-2	.000.000 1	094.400											
R=-39859.622 L=200.000																	
											R=-398	59.622 L=2	00.000				/

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C026.dwg

						VERTICAL 1:200 0 2 4 6 8 10m
						HORIZONTAL 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE 0 20 40 60 80 100m
В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15	0 20 40 60 80 100m
Α	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12	
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date	SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE
Plot	Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:41 PM Plotted by: Tom Nguyen	Ca	d File No:	G:\61\3170	0\CADD\Drav	wings\61-27426-C025.dwg

			I	I	I	I	I	1	1	I	I			1	I	I		I	1	I	I	I	I
+CUT/-FILL			-0.001	-0.112	-0.150	-0.497	-0.495	-0.494	-0.493	-0.491	-0.638	-0.555	-0.726	-0.530	-0.632	-0.483	-0.482	-0.344	-0.169	0.022	0.024	0.316	0 504
		1			1	1	1	1	1	- 1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	I	1	1	
DESIGN ON MC1D	25.004	25.002	25.001	25.000	24.998	24.997	24.996	24.994	24.993	24.992	24.990	24.989	24.988	24.986	24.985	24.984	24.982	24.981	24.980	24.978	24.977	24.976	74 Q74
		1					1	1	1				1		1	1	1	1	1	I			
EXIST ON MC1D (XC1D)	000.666	000.666	25.000	24.888	24.849	24.500	24.500	24.500	24.500	24.500	24.352	24,434	24.262	24.457	24.354	24.500	24.500	24.637	24.811	25.000	25.000	25.292	<u> Э</u> Д78
	† ·	•				1					1					1			I		1		
CHAINAGE ON MC1D	1000					1100					1200					1300			1360.751		1400		
						-			D=136	0.751						-			-		-		
HORIZ. ALIGN MUTD																							
																			•				
VERT. ALIGN MCTD																							
SUPERELEVATION																							

		1200
	<u></u>	



DATUM 20.0







LONGITUDINAL SECTION - MC1D

SCALE : HORZ 1:2000, VERT 1:200



				CONCEPT
GS	Client	LANDCORP		
	Project	KEMERTON	I INDUSTRIAL PARK	
	Title	PROPOSED	SOUTH WEST HIGHWAY	DEVIATION
		CHA 1000 -	CHA 2400	
be Inless	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C025	Rev: B







						VERTICAL 1:200 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	0	2	4	6	8	10m
						HORIZONTAL 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	0	20	40	60	80	100m
В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15		0	20	40	60	80	100m
А	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12							
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date		S	CALE 1	:2000 A	r origi	NAL SIZ	ΖE

Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:49 PM Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C027.dwg

PLAN SCALE: 1:2000

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - MC1D

SCALE : HORZ 1:2000, VERT 1:200



t be unless	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C027	Rev: B
	Title	CHA 3800 -	CHA 4536.56	DEVIATION
	Project	KEMERTON		
GS	Client	LANDCORF		





CHAINAGE 100



CHAINAGE 50





CHAINAGE 350













EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
FROFUSED	_
OFFSET	

EXISTING	
PROPOSED	





0 25 5 75 10 125m SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE



GHD House, 239 Adelaide Toe Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y 3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E perma®Roht.com au Www.ghd.com

							PRELIMINARY
	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLINGS	Client	LANDCORP		
ORMANCE		Drafting Design Check Check		Project	KEMERTON		
	Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date		Title	CROSS SEC CHA 0 - CH	CTIONS - MCGR A 550	
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C03	O Rev: A

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:01 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File

Cad File No: G:\61\274

Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C030.dwg







CHAINAGE 450



21 2 8

DATUM 22.0	F)	[- 1 -		
EXISTING	12722 12722 12728	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		22,000	23.066 23.074 23.074	23.067 23.064 23.059 23.057
PROPOSED	23,740	23.187	22,250 24,250 24,250 24,250 25,252 25,2500 25,2500 25,2500 25,2500 25,2500 25,2500 25,2500 25,2500 25,25000	23,000		
OFFSET	15.508 16.101 111	1,000 E	88.570 88.670 89.620 89.62 80.62 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	33000		

CHAINAGE 700

DATUM 22.0		
existing	24,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 23,000 24,0000 24,0000 24,0000 24,0000 24,0000 24,0000 24,0000000000	22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24
	1 101	111111111 1001
PROPOSED	905 EZ 2005 EZ	21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000
	1 111	11111111 101
OFFSET	-1300 -1200 -1200	1,200 0,000 1,200

CHAINAGE 650



CHAINAGE 600



2 2

DATUM 20.0	_		
EXISTING	22	22 000	22,000
PROPOSED	22.071 22.364	22222	
OFFSET	4731 1900	1,1,200 0,000 0,000 0,000 0,000 1,20	
OFFSET		2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	

CHAINAGE 900

DATUM 21.0				- 10	
EXISTING	Era		22	22 (80	
		ш	mmm		
PROPOSED		22.680	22.2500 22.2500 22.2500 22.750	22,760	
		m			
OFFSET		1858-	2000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000	997 997	
	CH	AINAGE 8	50		

NEW DATUM 21.0 11 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 EXISTING 22500 TIMMITT PROPOSED 22,000 22, 22.755 TTIMMITT OFFSET 8.198 1.185 CHAINAGE 800

DATUM 19.0 EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET

DATUM 20.0 EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET

DATUM 20.0	_
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

Г		
E	KISTING	
P	ROPOSED	
0	FFSET	

DATUM 20.0
existing
PROPOSED
OFFSET

This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved

		1				
lo	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project	Date	







	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	
RFORMANCE		Drafting Check	
	Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:01 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU

Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-0031.dwg

	96 â	200 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00				
DATUM 18.0						
łG			21500 21500 21500 21500 21500	21500		
SED	7 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	21 500 21 500 210 2100 21 500 210 210 210 210 2100 210 210 210 210				
r	1 101 8857 P	242 0000 241 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05				
	CHAINAGE 120	0		_		
DATUM 20.0						
VG	24 26 24 26 1	37 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	21,500	21,500 -		
ISED	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	21,550 22,150 22,150 21,500 21,500 21,500 21,500 21,500 21,500				
т	1 000 000 000 000 000 000	25,500 25,0000 25,0000 25,0000 25,0000 25,0000 25,0000 25,0000 25,0000 25,0000000 25,0000000000				
	CHAINAGE 115 발표 ଛ	0 9 2 3 3 8 2 2 3 4				
DATUM 20.0						
NG	21.720					
SED	27.22.02 27.22.02 27.22.02	21.75 21.75				
л	- 111 1978 - 1200 - 1200	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200				
	CHAINAGE 110	0 2353832832				
DATUM 20.0				• • •		1.4
NG	21.000 1981 12 1981 12		22.000			
DSED	21,800 21,140 21,741	22,250 22,250 22,250 22,250 22,250 22,250 22,250 22,250 24,2500 24,2500 24,2500 24,2500 24,2500 24,2500 24,2500 24,2500 24,25000				
ज	85548- 15662 7-2000	2,500 0000 0000 0000 000 000 000 000 000				
	CHAINAGE 105	0 292828282	-			
DATUM 20.0						
ING		52,000				
OSED	512,288 512,288 512,288	2222000				
ज	800 1988 1988 1988 1988 1998 1998 1998 1	25000000000000000000000000000000000000				
	CHAINAGE 100	00				
				PRE	LIMI	VARY
Designer S. GE	ERLINGS Client LANDC	ORP				
Design Check	Title CROSS CHA 60	SECTIONS - M 0 - CHA 1200	AL PARK CGR			

nginal Size	and the second sec		
A1	Drawing No:	61-27426-C031	

		55 				• •		
11	I				1111	-	TH	Т
21,500	21,500 21,436 21,436 21,436	21,155	21.65		21500	21,500	21,500	21,500
	1 011		mm	1111	1	-		-
	21,500	827.02	201202	20333				
	1 111		mm	110	-			-
	19501- 1997	381. 9000		4004				
	21.500	-0.551 - 21.500	10551 - 21350 10551 - 21350 10551 - 21350 21481 - 21461 21485 - 21461 21485 - 21461 21486 - 21461 21461 - 21461 21461 - 21461 21461 - 21461 21461 - 21461 21461 - 21461 - 21461 21461 - 2146	(1055) (1055)	4.000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.00000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.00000 4.0000 4.0000 4.00000 4.00000 4.00000 4.000000 4.0000000	4.055		

	¥ 2 8		
DATUM 19.0			
EXISTING	21500 21500 21500	2 2 2 500 2 2 2 2 2 500 2 50	21500
PROPOSED	21,500 20,418 20,805	23.23.29 23.24.96 23.24.96 23.24.96 23.26.95 23.26.96 23.26.46 23.26.46 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 24.26 25.26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 2	
OFFSET	1 00057- 100057-	86 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
		1	

CHAINAGE 1350

DATUM 19.0		
EXISTING	21.500	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
PROPOSED	22.550 23.550 23.550	2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
OFFSET	-10.058 -4.051	55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55

CHAINAGE 1300



CHAINAGE 1250

		M	2 2		295		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	¥		
DATUM 18.0			Ť		Ī	Ī	Ŷ	1		
existing	21.158 21.128 21.128		21,069	21,005	21.000	21,000	21.200		21,000	21,000
PROPOSED		21,088 -	19.518 20.006		20.124	29. 100 101	20.000 19.000	21.143		
OFFSET	11	-10.865 -	182.65		1986 0.500 0.500	000	3000	Debri		
	CH	AIN	AGE 1	600						





CHAINAGE 1500

	최 도 문 관용 것 음료 별명도 것
DATUM 19.0	
EXISTING	21 500 21 500 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 2
	1 101 1111111 100 1
PROPOSED	21500 21550 215555 215555 215555 215555 215555 215555 215555 215555 215555 2155555 215555 215555 215555 215555 215555555 2155555 2155555555
7.1.4	
OFFSET	1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,
	011111105 1150

CHAINAGE 1450









-			1	1		
			12			
						0 25 5 7.5 10
-						SCALE 1.250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date	





DRMANCE	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLINGS			
		Drafting Check	Design Check			
	Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date				
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not be used for Construction uni signed as Approved			

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2.01 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU

Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C032 dwg

20,500	02.12 0.12 0
1 101	
20,500 19,443 19,550	19.576 19.570 19.570 19.570 19.570 19.573 20.500 20.500
1 111	11111111 111 1
-10.266 1.661 1.600 1.7	-1.965 -0.900 -0.000 0.900 0.900 4.681 4.681 4.681 4.681

CHAINAGE 1800

CHAINAGE 1750

CHAINAGE 1700



			PRELIMINARY
Client Project Title	LANDCORF KEMERTON CROSS SEC	NINDUSTRIAL PARK CTIONS - MCGR	
	CHA 1250 -	CHA 1800	
Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C03	2 Rev: A
	Client Project Title Original Size	Client LANDCORP Project KEMERTON Title CROSS SEC CHA 1250 -	Client LANDCORP Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK Tite CROSS SECTIONS - MCGR CHA 1250 - CHA 1800 Organi Size A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C032

	92 8 Not				
	I, III,	,			
2000	0000	800 82		20,000	2000 22 2000
	20.000	102.000 200.637 200.637 102.007	19.867 19.460 20.000		
	40.61	15 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	3300 14/81		
	57000 57000 57000	8 8 494 4 454 4 454 1 3000 1 4 550 1 3000 1 3000	1100 1100	1 1 <td>1 1</td>	1 1

		20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	28 9 8 8 8	335	¥		
DATUM 18.0				Ť	1		
EXISTING	21500 21500 21500 21500 21500	201500 201500 201500	20,500	00512	20,500	005102 202002	20200
PROPOSED		19.419 19.419	100 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	19.499	20,500		
OFFSET		100007/-	88 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	199	6.161		

CHAINAGE 1950

DATUM 16.0			255282 		
EXISTING	20300	22,200	202569	272.02 005.02 005.02 005.02	005.02
PROPOSED		20,500	19.965 19.967 19.967 19.967 19.965	10.500	
OFFSET		- 19879- 19879-	887 - 0-0-00 887 - 0-0-00 886 - 0-00 886 - 0-00 800 - 0-00 800 - 0-00 800 - 0-00 800 - 0-00 800 -	1.150 1.151	
		AND STREET W	5.52		

CHAINAGE 1900

	54 E 16	28 8 8 8 2 8 8 2 X
DATUM 18.0		
EXISTING	20,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000 21,000	222000 22200000 2220000 222000 222000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 220000 22000000
PROPOSED	201948 18.443 19.200	846 03 2000 10 2000 10
OFFSET	1 1939 1939 1930 1930 1930	86 65 65 86 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76
	CHAINAGE 1850)

	DAG	2 5 5 8 8 8 8 8 2 X
DATUM 17.0		
EXISTING	002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01	13/15 20000 20000 20000 20000 20000 20000 20000 20000
PROPOSED	18.07 19.000 19.000 19.000	1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970
OFFSET	4978 1238	1,2,2,00 1,2,000 1,2,0000 1,2,000 1,00000 1,00000 1,00000 1,00000 1,00000000
	CHAINAGE 22	50 호원 및 위원 - 제공은 및
DATUM 18.0		
EXISTING		2 2000 2 200 2 2000 2 2
PROPOSED	20.000 19.007 19.451	16.272 16.291 16.291 16.251 16
OFFSET	1 00877 18878-	1,1966 0000 0000 1,1866 1,1866 5,5600 1,1865
	CHAINAGE 22	00
DATUM 18.0		
existing		5 55500 000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
PROPOSED	20000 165.62	11111111111111111111111111111111111111
OFFSET	1987 1987 1987 1987	86.55 86.65 86.85 87
	CHAINAGE 21	50 호유 집 및 라크 : 라크 : 호
DATUM 18.0		
EXISTING		142000 2000000
PROPOSED	19.500 19.500 19.500	87.18 27.18 27.18 27.18 28.19 29.10 20.000
OFFSET	7 1990 7557 7 1990 7	2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2
	CHAINAGE 21	00 8 월 월 광리a 공고 3명
DATUM 18.0		
EXISTING	2000 2000 2000	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
PROPOSED	2000 15221 18720	11111111111111111111111111111111111111
OFFSET	- 1006/r 18779	5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.55 5.5

CHAINAGE 2050

LAN DIA 17.4	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 17.0	
existing	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	





0 25 5 75 10 125m SCALE 1 250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE





ORMANCE	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLING
		Drafting Check	Design Check
	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not i used for Construction u signed as Approved

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:01 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU

Cad File No. G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C033 dwg



CHAINAGE 2450



CHAINAGE 2400



CHAINAGE 2350



				PRELIMINARY	
GS	Client Project Title	LANDCORF KEMERTON CROSS SE	N INDUSTRIAL PARK CTIONS - MCGR		
		CHA 1850 -	CHA 2450		
be unless	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C03	3 Rev: A	4

				-		and the second se		DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON
		-	-		0 25 5 75 10 125m	1. T. W.	GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE	Candiliana of Line	Drafting Check
					SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	4.4	GHD House, 239 Adebide Tce Perth WA 6004	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date
s on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date		LANDCORP	FO Box Y 3100 Penn WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6228 28222 8555 E permaii@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN
Platted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU	Ca	ad File No	G:\61\2742	6\CADD\Dr	wings\61-27426-C034 dwg				

CHAINAGE	2500	
CHAINAGE	2000	

No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on c Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:01 PM

	31 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
DATUM 17.0	
EXISTING	002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01 002.01
PROPOSED	002.49 002.49
OFFSET	在 25 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1

CHAINAGE 2550

_		2883833822
DATUM 17.0		
EXISTING	25 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	19.200 19.200 19.200 19.200 19.200 19.200 19.200 19.407
	1 m	
PROPOSED	19.500 18.659 18.659	18.557 19.558 18.577 18.557 18.551 18.551 19.550
	1 101	
OFFSET	19270)- 19270-	-1.985 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.00

CHAINAGE	2600
----------	------

	989 		
DATUM 17.0	I II		
EXISTING	19.159 19.156		19.256
	1 11		
PROPOSED	16.242	16,260 16,260 16,260 16,260 16,260 16,430 19,460	
	1 10		
OFFSET	1900 F	1 2000 1 2000 1 2 2000 1 2 2000 1 2 2000 1 2 2 2000 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	

CHAINAGE 2650

1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900	18,500 18,500 18,500 19,500 19,219 19,203
19.041 16.000	16,2020 16,200
1 111 1979 1975 1975	2625-2625 275-2625 275-2625 275-2625 275-2625 275-2625 275-275-275-275-275-275-275-275-275-275-
	4028 4028 4028 4028 4048 1111 1120000 1120000 1120000 112000 112000 112000 112000 1120000 112000 112000 1120000 1120000 1120000 1120000 1120000 11000000 1100000000

DATE 460	<u>¥</u> Eā
	1 1
EXISTING	90035
	1 111
PROPOSED	17.224 17.224 16.311
	1 101
OFFSET	8 8 8

		a 12 au		88 2 ¥
DATUM 17.0	` 			
EXISTING	19.000 19.464 19.500	19.500 19.500 19.500	19,500 19,500 19,400 19,413	19,000 19,000 19,000
PROPOSED		19.500 18.187 18.575	10,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000	18,000 19,000 19,000
OFFSET		- 646.01- 198.8-	565 5000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	3300 4,881
	CH	AINAGE	2700	

	CHAINAGE 2750
	9 E 8 9 9 8 8 8 8 2 9
DATUM 17.0	
Existing	19,000 19,460 19,460 19,5000 19,5000 19,5000 10,5000 10,5000 10,5000 10,5000 10,5000 10,50000
	1 10 1111111 10 1

DATUM 17.0	N		
EXISTING		2000 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	000121 1000121 000121 1000121 000121 000121 000121 000121
	1 111	11111111 100 1	
PROPOSED	18.222 18.086 10.487	18.005 19.157 19.157 19.055 19.056 19.056 19.056	
	1 11	11111111 100 1	
OFFSET	1905/- 1905/-	-1.065 0.500000000	
	CHAINAGE	2750	

	2 2	288888	232 ¥

1 556 265 262 X

DATUM 17.0				-
EXISTING	19200	000.01 000.01 000.01	19.000	
	-	1 011		
PROPOSED		19,000 19,000 19,300	18.517 19.000 19.000 18.670 18.670 19.600 19.000	
		тт		
OFFSET		-10.163 -8.681 -7.900	-1.266 -0.2000 0.2000 1.2665 4.581 4.581	
	C	HAINAGE 2800	6. The second	

8 2 B

CHAINAGE	2850
GIANAUL	2000

DATUM 16.0			
EXISTING		19,000	
	1 11	THEFT IN T	
PROPOSED	19.000 17.924 18.311	18.429 18.451 18.451 18.451 18.454 18.459 18.459 18.004 19.000	
	1 111	11111111 100 1	
OFFSET	00677- 18078-	-1.966 -0.000 0.0000 1.0000 4.881 4.881 4.881	
	and a second s	3.5	

PROPOSED	
OFFSET	
	-

OFFSET	
DATUM 18.0	

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

Design Check

DATUM 16.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	

- 11
18,500



This Drawing must not be used for Construction unserved and as Approved

			285 X		
DATUM 16.0	M				
EXISTING	0057.1	0062.71 0062.71 E192.71			
PROPOSED	00571 00571	12,105 10,105 10,105 10,105 10,105 11	108721		
OFFSET	4223 1428 1428	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	3200 5273		
	CHAINAGE	3300			
	328 	288882 			
EXISTING					
PROPOSED	8,8,8	19 2 5 2 19	100 1 1000		
OFFSET	528 1 III 222	8888 8	8 2 2 8		
	CHAINAGE	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	ল ৰ'দ		
		28888	93 5¥		
DATUM 16.0					
EXISTING	1000	18,000 18,000 18,000	18.000		18.000
PROPOSED	18.000 17.300 17.865	17.813 17.813 18.805 17.805 17.813	17.775 17.386 18.000		
OFFSET	4,0718 4,6816 - 7,500	26,550,55 26,550,560,560,560,560,560,560,560,560,56	99 1 49 5		
	CHAINAGE 및 문 홈	3200 হ 8 8 8 8 8	832 X	37	
DATUM 16.0					
EXISTING					16229 -
PROPOSED	1.1.00 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	12,200 10,200 10,200 10,200 10,200 10,200 10,200 10,200 10,200 10,200 10	17,4865		
OFFSET	1.000	86,000000 861 0000000 881	1.000		
	CHAINAGE	3150 a S S S Ra	gaa a		
0.734.480					
EXISTING				000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0053 0053
PROPOSED	835		26 26 26 1111 1		44 44
OFFEET	925 1 m		1		
UTTOEI	-10.205 -1.200	387-0000 Set	3.90		

	1011	11111			
PROPOSED	291/21 000/21	706.71 706.71 706.71	17.286 71/247 17.200		
	TIMT	imm	(1) (00	-	
OFFSET	0107 6 -	1960 0000 0000 0000	1,865 3,800 4,800		- 1
	CHAINAGE	3500			
	998 8				
DATUM 15.0	1			 n 1	
EXISTING	8	0071	00715 000715 000715	17,000	17000
1.000	1111		111 101		
PROPOSED	8021	11.374	17.374		
	0111		tti im		
OFFSET	4557 7.200	386.1- 2000.0- 000.0- 000.0- 000.0- 000.0-	19 9 9 F		
	CHAINAGE	3450			

	38		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
DATUM 15.0				
ING	1,000			
	10		110	
OSED	000721	701.71 702.71 702.71 702.71	17.200	
	TU		Tim	
ετ	DIGO	7,000 2001 2001 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	88	
	CHAINAGE	3500		

EXISTING

DATUM 15.0

DATUM 16.0

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	
1944	
DATUM 15.0	
DATUM 150 EXISTING	
DATUM 15.0 EXISTING PROPOSED	

DATUM 15.0

EXISTING

PROPOSED

DATUM 15.0

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

1	821 KT 1	
17.288	24/11 24/21 24/21 24/21 24/21 24/21 24/21	
49194 85258 0000 1-	2000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 1986 1986	
CHAINAGE 34	00	
225 2		
M		
00511	17.500	17.500 17.500 17.500
1 mr	11111111 1011	

17.557 17.557 17.557 17.550 17.510

-1.965 0.900 0.900 3.800 5.244

	202002 084	
17.300	17.212 17.185	
		_
	17.462 17.465 18.013 18.013 17.465 17.465 17.465	
	111111111 1001	
	-1.955 0.0000 0.0000 1.956 1.956 1.956	
400	6883 5 8 8 8	
	- 82 400	

		M	
	12.20		17.200
-		rmr	11111111 100
		17.200 17.105 17.345	17,482 18,043 17,483 17,482 17,482 17,482
		(m)	11111111 100
		388	\$ 8 8 8 \$ \$ \$ X

17,00M

1848

CHAINAGE 3350

258	

DATUM 15.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

Design Check

		1						DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON
				-			GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE	Contribution of the	Drafting Check
				-		SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	GHD House, 239 Adelaide Toe Perth WA 6004	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date
No	Revision Note: * Indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manage	Project Director	Date	LANDCORP	T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:01 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:161127426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-0035.dwg



This Drawing multitot be used for Constructor unless good as Agrowed Drawing No: 61-27426-C035



		20 Kg	Mark Concorner Concorner Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mar	
DATUM 15.0				
XISTING	16500	16.500 16.500 16.500	18.500 18.500 18.500 18.500 18.500 18.500	00541 16500 16500 16500 16500
ROPOSED		16.570 16.570	16.500 16.507 16.718 16.719 16.507 16.507 16.500 16.500	
FFSET		0067- 9229		
	CHAI	NAGE 425) 2999982 222	
DATEN 150		- 11		
XISTING	16500 16500 16500	005.01	1 6500	
ROPOSED		16.500 16.690	000000 10,2000	
OFFSET		94519-	÷÷÷ 500000 500000 500000 50000 50000 50000 50000 50000 50000 5	
	CHAI	NAGE 420	0	
		28 B		
DATUM 15.0		M		
EXISTING	16.020 16.030 16.047			
ROPOSED		16.863 16.362 16.769	16.067 17.436 17.436 14.000 10.000 10.000 10.000	
DFFSET		8748 1878 	-1.965 -0.000 0.0000 1.965 3.300 5.360	
	CHAI	NAGE 415	0 2555555	
DATUM 15.0		- 1		
EXISTING	16.812 16.867 16.964	a en	16.261 16.260 16.268 16.268	
PROPOSED		16.555 16.555 16.816	16.524 17.2486 17.2486 16.504 16.504 16.504 16.514	
OFFSET		952'8-	2,286 2,2000 2,000	
	CHA	NAGE 410	288882 82 X	
DATUM 15.0		- 11		
EXISTING			18500	
PROPOSED		16.530 16.530	9459) 005211 00521 01591 01691 01691 01691 01691	
OFFSET		81/19- 0007/-	2000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000	

CHAINAGE 4050

EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 14.0	- 1
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFEET	

DATUM 15.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

0 25 5 75 10 125m SCALE 1 250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE



CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFOR CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFOR CHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box V3106 Perth WA 8832 Australia T 618 6222 8222 F 618 6222 8555 E permat@gnd.com.au Www.ghd.com

	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLIN		
MANCE		Drafting Check	Design Check		
	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date			
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not used for Construction signed as Approved		

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:01 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:/61/27426\CADD\Drawings/61-27426-C006.dwg

No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawn Job Project Director Date



CHAINAGE 4450



CHAINAGE 4400



CHAINAGE 4350



			PRELIMINAR	Y
Client Project Title	LANDCORF KEMERTON CROSS SEC	NINDUSTRIAL PARK		
1	CHA 3800 -	CHA 4450		
Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C036	B Rev:	A
	Client Project Title Onginal Size	Client LANDCORF Project KEMERTON Tite CROSS SEC CHA 3800 -	Client LANDCORP Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK Tite CROSS SECTIONS - MCGR CHA 3800 - CHA 4450 Organilister A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C030	Client LANDCORP Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK Tite CROSS SECTIONS - MCGR CHA 3800 - CHA 4450 Organilister A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C036 Rev:







OFFSET	Liste Hate	-1.2055 -0.2000 0.2000 1.2055 3.3000 4.681 5.5025 5.502
	CHAINAGE 485	0
	월 문 웹	26 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
DATUM 13.0		
EXISTING	88 88 8	8 888 88 88 88

 	1		,	
15,500 15,500 15,500	15.500	15500 15500 15500	855	15.500 15.500 15.500
- mi			101 1	
15.500 14.770 15.157			15.237 14.860 15.500	
 1 101		mmm	100-1	
-4.776 14481 14481			3.000 5.600	
	1900		1900	90070





Rev: A

DATUM 13.0	101
Existing	85
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DO NOT SCALE

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHDs client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

Date

Scale AS SHOWN

tion of drawing	Drawn	Job	Project	Date	0 25 5 75 10 125m	LANDCORP	GHD House, 239 Adelaide Toc Penth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Penth WA 6832 Australa T 61 8 6222 8222 F51 8 6222 8555 E permal@ghd.comau W www.ghd.com

I Date	13 February 2012 - 2 01 PM	Plotted by	Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU	
Daio.	15 Fubricary 2012 - 2 011 m	i fottaa bje	10-on that share han to	

No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last re

	3		298993	B BM				
DATUM 13.0	<u> </u>			- M -				-
EXISTING		_	0007X					
PROPOSED	89.4		N2 39 32 39	14,000				
OFFSET	9051- 91/19-		1.565 0.000 0.900 0.900 0.900 0.900 0.900	4,800	Ċ,			
	CHAINAGE	5400						
	18							
DATUM 13.0					1	1		T
EXISTING	27 27 28 28				11.00	11.00	_	_
PROPOSED	14.000 14.010		11.70 11.70 11.70	¥2.4				
OFFSET	11615-		1.1865 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000000	200 100				
	CHAINAGE	5350		234				
	¥¥ اب		- 1111	- 41-				
EXISTING								
PROPOSED	111							
			11111111 11111111 11111111	111 11	_			-
OFFSET	9087 7 9087		1.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000000	150				
	CHAINAGE	5300		жБ				
DATIBUTE				- 11-				-
EXISTING	3 58 8					1	H I	
C. C. S. S.	3 33 3	3 33	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	m		ž	141	
PROPOSED	8741 1874		14.915 14.915 14.907 14.915 14.915	1011				
OFFSET	1988 1977		200000 995 200000 995	150				
		5250	99 8 9 89	R8 9				
DATI M (3.0	M		- 1999	- 11 -		• •		-
EXISTING								
PROPOSED		5		1001 8 9	Ē			1
	231 331	N.	2 2 2 2 2 2 9 2 4 9 2	1111 2 2				
OFFSET	ង្គង្គី	0067-	-1.265 0.000 0.000 0.2000 0.200000000	3300				

Project Directo

		# #	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
		111	11111111 II I	
DFFSET		4.150	-1.265 0.0000 0.00000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.0000 0.000000	
	CH	AINAGE 560	0	
		S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		
DATUM 12.0				
EXISTING	13500	13.500		13500
ROPOSED		11.200	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	
OFFSET		51 50 57 50	2,243 5,243 5,243 5,243 5,243 5,243	
	CH	AINAGE 555	60	
		20 M	2899882 884	
		11		

DATUM 12.0			
ING	002121 002121 13,405	11278 1328 1329	Marti Marti
	TI		
DSED	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	HCH 300000 HCH 30000 HCH	
	111	11011011 11 1	
ਜ	-4,150 -7,200	-1.265 0.0000 1.2605 1.26555 1.26555 1.26555 1.26555 1.265555 1.265555 1.26555555555555555555555555555555555555	
	CHAINAGE	5600	

EXISTING

PROPOSED

EXIS

DATUM 12.0

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

DATUM 120

32	533	9 4 5 4			
GE 55	600				
24 198	28	282 2 X			
-				 	
00071	00071	000711	11000	8	
m	tim				
14,000	14.614 14.636 14.636	14.656 14.575 14.575			
m	m	1111 III			

DO NOT SCALE

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHDs client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

		CHUTT	
		Turner	
OPOSED	99.99	5 3 6 5 F	294
	2 2	2222 2	* *
FSET	89978- 12000	2000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000	4,855
	CHAINAGE	5500	
	3		No.

0087

CHAINAGE 5450

11000

DATUM 12.0			
ING	13.903		
	m		
OSED	13,560	14.524 15.075 15.075 14.545 14.455 14.455 14.455	
	m		
ET	8587 8587 1-	-1.065 -0.000 0.000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.000 -0.000	

	* * * * ?	
4.524 14.545 14.545 14.545 14.545 14.485 14.485 14.485		

existing	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

EXISTING
PROPOSED
OFFSET

DATUM 12.0

EXISTING

DATUM 120	-
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

Design Check

Drawn A. HORTON

Approved (Project Director)

Scale AS SHOWN

Drafting Check

Date

0 25 5 75 10 125 SCALE 1.250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	LANDCORP	CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tee Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 6822 F61 8 6222 8555 E permatigghd.coma W www.ghd.com
--	----------	---

Plat Data	13 Eabruary 2012 - 2 01 PM	Plotted by:	Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU	Cad File No:	G \61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426.C038 dwg
Plot Date	13 February 2012 - 2.01 PM	FIOTIES by	I G CI III I G UI G HD AU	000 1 10 140.	G 101 2142010100 0101010121420-0030 0Wg

No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawn Job Manager







2 2

12,300

TI

CHAINAGE 6250

a a

12.500

111

CHAINAGE 6200

00072

1997

_ _ _ _ _

......

2.411 2.418 2.400 2.400

- - - - -

2500

DATUM 11.0

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

DATUM 11.0

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

1111111 11 1

13.174

TIIIIIII

3.254

.

85

12500 12500 12500 12500

2318



EXISTING	10.50 10.50
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 10.0	- 1
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 10.0	-
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

28	33 ¥

DATUM 11.0		11		
EXISTING	12500			
		111		
PROPOSED		12,500	13.354 13.375 13.375 13.375 13.315 13.315	
			tuntut ut	
OFFSET		50078-	-1.865 -0.000 0.000 1.165 3.800 5.123	
	CI	AINAGE 61	50	





RFORMANCE	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLIN
		Drafting Check	Design Check
	Contains of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Approved (Project Director) Date	
		Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must no used for Construction signed as Approved

Plot Date 13 February 2012 - 2:01 PM

Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawn Job Project Director Date Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU

Cad File No: G.\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C039.dwg

CHAINAGE 6500





CHAINAGE 6400



				PRELIMINAR	Y
GS	Client Project Title	LANDCORF KEMERTON CROSS SEC CHA 5900 -	N INDUSTRIAL PARK CTIONS - MCGR CHA 6500		
t be unless	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C03	9 Rev:	A





CHAINAGE 6600



CHAINAGE 6550



CHAINAGE 6700

PROPOSED	
OFFSET	
DATUM 11.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	
DATUM 11.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 12.0

EXISTING

	-
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

						DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLIN
				1. T. T.	GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE		Drafting Check	Design Check
			SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	A	GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004	Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
A Revision Note * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn Job	Project Date		LANDCORP	PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 618 6222 8222 F 618 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must no used for Construction signed as Approved



					1
GS	Client	LANDCOR			
	Project	KEMERTON	NINDUSTRIAL PARK		
	Title	CROSS SEC CHA 6550 -	CTIONS - MCGR CHA 7050		
t be unless	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C04	O Rev:	Α

Î



8 8 B +||+||+| - |-` - -DATUM 11.0 EXISTING 12.500 2208 12.000 300 PROPOSED 12.75 12.75 12.75 12.75 12.75 12.75 12.672 OFFSET 1.900 1196

ULANAOL 1200

		228		1		82		2		
DATUM 11.0		FT-	-			F	-F	Y		
EXISTING	12.774 12.774		12.500	12500	12368	12301	1221		12.197	12000
		1.0			m	m	11	1	-	
PROPOSED		12,670		1360		13.678	13.640	1221		
1.5.7		1.11			THIT	m	п	1		
OFFSET		9736		981-	399	386	997 F	6.034		

CHAINAGE 7150

	2	ē 8		222232333	33 5	ž				
		Y		- MIIII	T	1	-			
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14.327		11,250	DEN	14.361	14.290	11.255	14.199	14,000	14,000
	M.SO	13.008		13.655 13.555 13.5555 13.55555 13.55555 13.55555 13.555555 13.555555 13.5555555555	13.178	H2TT -				
	- HLSH	1909-		-1,905 -0,900 0,900 0,900 0,900 0,900 0,900	3300	6.300 -				
			4.08 4	4,422 4,	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4.681 4.682 4.	1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1

CHAINAGE 7100





CHAINAGE 7400



CHAINAGE 7350



CHAINAGE 7300









0 25 5 75 10 SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawn Manager Director Date





CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLING			
Drafting Check	Design Check			
Approved (Project Director) Date				
Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not used for Construction u signed as Approved			
	Drawn A. HORTON Drafting Check Approved Project Director) Date Scale AS SHOWN			

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:02 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-0041.dwg

IGS Client LANDCORP **KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK** roject **CROSS SECTIONS - MCGR** Title CHA 7100 - CHA 7650 A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C041 Rev: A





CHAINAGE 7800

	9 E B 9 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
DATUM 12.0	
EXISTING	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1
	1 001 1101111 1001
PROPOSED	14.50 14
-	1 001 110111111111
OFFSET	4.488 4.488 4.488 4.488 4.488 5.429 5.428 5.429

CHAINAGE 7750

		24 2 8	Q		a x		
DATUM 12.0		- 1					
EXISTING	14,000		000793	11000	897 B 897	14.000	007¥
		nn	п	mm	m		
PROPOSED		14,561	2741		14,434		
		III		mmn	m		
OFFSET		06278-	387-		99 IS		
	1.50	10.2	1.0				

CHAINAGE 7700





DATUM 12.0				1	
existing	16.068	- 761567 - 161566 - 161450	1000 the tee	1000 12 12 12 12	16.500
PROPOSED	16.770	13.428	13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000	16.204	
OFFSET	- 909/E1-	19878-		6.307	
	CHA	INAGE 8000			



CHAINAGE 7950



CHAINAGE 7900









Drawn A. HORTON Designer S. GEERLIN DO NOT SCALE 1. . . GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE Drafting Check Design Check Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHDs client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose. 0 25 5 7.5 10 12.5m 1 . A Approved (Project Director) GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 618 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE Date This Drawing must no used for Construction signed as Approved Scale AS SHOWN LANDCORP No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing. Drawin Manager Director Date

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:02 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G161/27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C042.dwg

CHAINAGE 8250



CHAINAGE 8200



CHAINAGE 8150

				PRELIMINARY	Y
GS	Client	LANDCORP			
	Project	KEMERTON	INDUSTRIAL PARK		
	Title	CROSS SEC CHA 7700 -	CTIONS - MCGR CHA 8250		
t be uniess	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C042	2 Rev: /	A

		N 200		8 2				
DATUM 11.0		f	- [† † †]	- 11 -				-
EXISTING	12500	12.600	13.000	13.000	13.000	12.002	12500	12,420
PROPOSED		13.200 13.200	13.646 13.646 13.667 13.667	13.000	i			
OFFSET			200 00000 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900	11				

			and the second			ž	
DATUM 11.0			- []		-F	<u>}</u>	
EXISTING	13.000	12744	12.004	12500	12500	12.500	12500
PROPOSED			13.517 =	13.656 13.657 13.657 13.657 13.656	13.300 =	12,500 -	
OFFSET			- 2006.7-	286 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3300		

CHAINAGE 8400



CHAINAGE 8350



		95 B	ION DO NOT DO NOT	88 M		
DATUM 12.0		- M	- 1			
EXISTING	13.500 13.500 13.500					
Lavar		1.001	unimu	1001		
PROPOSED		131500	13.006 13.717 14.247 13.717 13.606	13467		_
OFFSET		57.5 57.5 57.5 7 50.5 7	1985 0900 0900 0900 0900 1985	3100		
	CHAI	NAGE 8700				
DATUM 12.0		- 1				
existing						
PROPOSED		885	8665 8	1111 5- 8		
		22 22 22 22 22 22		5 5		
OFFSET		0067-1- 0067-1-	-1.965 0.9000 0.9000 0.9000 0.9000 0.9000 0.9000 0.9000 0.9000 0.9000 0.90000 0.90000 0.90000 0.900000000	3,500		
	CHAI	NAGE 8650	1	167		
		988 - M				
DATUM 12.0						-
EXISTING	SANS SANS SANS	101				13,500
PROPOSED		13,465	19.000 14.207 19.200 19.0000 19.00000 19.0000 19.0000 19.0000 19.00000 19.00000 19.00000 19.00000 19.00000 19.00000 19.00000 19.00000000 19.0000000000	13.637		
OFFSET		90577 190577	28 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	999 909		
	CHAI	NAGE 8600				
		22		8 X		
DATUM 12.0			- 1 1 1	- 11	~~~~	-
EXISTING		13.115				
PRODOSED		III 89 F				
riorosed				13.15		
OFFSET		-7,000	-1.985 -0.000 0.000 0.000 0.1.985	3.000		
	CHAI	NAGE 8550				
		M M		H SH		
DATUM 12.0		1	- [1][1][1]	<u>- In</u>		
EXISTING	888 888	12000 12000 12000 12000	85	1100	80 ST 10 ST	-
PROPOSED		13.000	13.000 13.000 17.000 17.000 17.000 17.000 17.000 17.000 17.000 17.000 17.000 17.000 17.000 17.00000 17.00000 17.00000 17.00000 17.00000 17.00000 17.0000000000	13.617		
OFFSET		90057-	200000 00000 00000 00000	88		

CHAINAGE 8500

DATUM 12.0	
EXISTING	13,000
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 12.0	-
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 12.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 12.0	
existing	13.56
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 12.0	-
EXISTING	13.M
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

						-
						SCA
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date	
Plot	Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:02 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU	c	ad File No:	G:\61\27420	S\CADD\Drawing	gs\61-27426-C043 dwg

No





GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMAN GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLINGS
NCE	Contract Class	Drafting Check	Design Check
	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved



Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C043	Rev: A
Title	CROSS SE CHA 8300 -	CTIONS - MCGR CHA 8950	
Project	KEMERTON	NINDUSTRIAL PARK	
	LANDOON		

			28 282 88 ¥		
DATUM 12.0					
EXISTING		13.601		14,000 14,000	87 87
PROPOSED		13,400	13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000		
DFFSET			-1.286 -1.286 -0.000 0.2000 0.2000 3.300 3.300 3.300 1.265 1.551		
	CHAI	NAGE 9450) ====================================	~ 5	
		- M		28 	
DATUM 12.0			U		
EXISTING			13285		
ROPOSED		388 F		88	
			1111111 111 12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	\$\$ \$7	_
OFFSET		4256	-1,865 -0,000 0,800 1,865 1,865 5,040 5,040	10.900	
	CHAI	NAGE 9400)	1.16	
		¥ 8	288882	E B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	BB ¥ LOC
DATUM 12.0				+ + +	- D -
Existing	13.269 13.260	13210	13.204 14.151 14.152	1317 2	ti ti
PROPOSED		13.700	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	14.128 14.151 14.152 14.152 14.152	13,178
OFFSET		1488-	586 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0	8.302 9.501 10.402 11.303 12.412	14.306 = 15.577 =
	CHAI	NAGE 935	0	- Com	
		94 BB	285282		BR ¥ LOOP
				/ /////////////////////////////////////	D
DATUM 12.0		- 11	131111111	+ + +	
datum 120 EXISTING	13000	- 1 8	<u>8</u> 8	<u> </u>	
DATUM 120 EXISTING PROPOSED	89 89 89 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	13.000		+ + + 89.599.59.89	13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000
DATUM 120 EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET	8888 8888	48.46 49.46 1.1000	986.5- 986.6- 986.0- 98	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	13.84 = 13.861 = 13.000 15.221 = 13.000 = 13.000 13.000 = 1
DATUM 120 EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET	E CHAI				13.044 = 13.056 = 13.
DATUM 129 EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET	CHAI		CULLED (2 8 8 8 9 9 9 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
DATUM 120 EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET DATUM 120	CHAI				
DATUM 120 EXISTING OFFSET DATUM 120 EXISTING	CHAI	 82 53 54 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55			
DATUM 12.9 EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET DATUM 12.0 EXISTING PROPOSED	CHAI				

4 12.0	

DATUM 12.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
	-
OFFSET	

EXISTING		
	EXISTING	
PROPOSED	PROPOSED	
	-T	-

DATUM 12.0	
EXISTING	13.657
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

	_	-					DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HC	ORTON	Designer S. GEERLINGS
	-			0 25 5 75 10 125-	1. 1	GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE		Drafting Check		Design Check
				SCALE 1/250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	1 L	GHD House, 230 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Directo Date	or)	
Drawn Job Manag	ob ager	Project	Date		LANDCORP	T 61 8 622 8 822 F 61 8 622 855 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS S	SHOWN	This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved

DATUM 12.0	
EXISTING	85451 85451 84461 84461
PROPOSED	11/12 11/12
OFFSET	447 447 1586 1515 1515 1515 1515 1515 1515 1515
	CHAINAGE 9200
DATUM 12.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	13.21 13.21 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 13.20 14.20
OFFSET	453 453 458 408 408 408 408 408 408 415 703 808 415 703 808 415 703 808 415 703 808 103 808 103 808 103 808 103 808 103 808 103 808 103 808 103 808 103 808 103 808 103 808 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103
DATUM 12.0	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	86 85 5 5 5 8 8 5 7 5 8 8 9 7 5 8 8 8 7 5 8 8 8 7 5 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 8 9 7 8 9 8 8 9 8 9
100	CHAINAGE 9100 평표 표정철 방명로 광장보
DATUM 12.0	
EXISTING	132000 1320000 1320000 132000000 1320000000000
PROPOSED	0011 1940 1940 1940 1940 1940 1940 1940
OFFSET	4.120 4.120
	CHAINAGE 9050 보 종료 호종 별 중령로 관광 보
DATEN 110	

New State

DATUM 11.0			
EXISTING	12.500	12.500 12.500 12.500 12.500 12.500 12.500	12.675
PROPOSED	12500	13.756 13.756 13.756 13.776 13.776 13.776 13.776 13.465 12.3465 12.3465	
OFFSET	800 ST	80000000000000000000000000000000000000	

CHAINAGE 9000

 No
 Revision
 Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or bst revision of drawing
 <thDrawing</th>
 Drawing
 D

		5
20 00 X		
2 2 mi		_
肖 북 CHAINAGE 0704	u \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	
	· 호텔 호텔 호텔 호텔 호 	
m		-
13,428		
87.8- 1006.7-	-1.265 -1	
CHAINAGE 965 호흡	2 8 8 8 8 2 8 8 2 0	
	 	
	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	1366
13.462	13176 17807	
8185- 0027-	1,156 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.000000	
CHAINAGE 960	0 9 # 5 # # # # # #	
1	<u></u>	
11111 85	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	
13.57	13.200 14.417 14.417 13.201 13.201 13.201 13.201 13.201 13.201	
180°5-	-1-1865 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 5.130 5.130	
CHAINAGE 955 및 홈 홈	282293	
	000 000 000 900 91 100 100 100 100 100 1	8
13.206 13.500 13.737	13.865 17.855 17.855 17.855 17.855 19.8555 19.8555 19.8555 19.8555 19.8555 19.8555 19.8555 19.8555 19.8555	
- 1200 - 1200	-1.1865 -0.000 0.000 1.2865 5.555 5.555	
CHAINAGE 950	ю	
Client LAND	CORP	

1	Original Size		C4	27	126	-	1 4 4
	A1	Drawing No:	01	-21	420	-66	144

		EXISTING
16.000 16.70 16.200 17.000 17.000 17.000	EXISTING E S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	PROPOSED
196551 19695 19975 19975	PROPOSED 물 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통 통	I OFFSET
1,2,85 1,2,00 1,2,0	OFFSET § \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
	CHAINAGE 10100	DATUM 12.0
		EXISTING
		PROPOSED
11 2000 11 2000 110		0FFSET
805151 1015	PROPOSED 3 8 8 8 8 8 9 5 8 9 5 5 5 8 8	50 50 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51
	OFFSET ନ୍ତି କିଛି କିଛିଥିଛେ ଅନ୍ଥି କିଛିଥିଛେ ଅନ୍ଥି କିଛିଥିଛେ ଅନ୍ଥି କିଛିଥିଛେ ଅନ୍ଥି କିଛିଥିଛେ ଅନ୍ଥି କିଛିଥିଛେ ଅନ୍ଥି କିଛି	
7990 - M T	CHAINAGE 10050	EXISTING
sēfilāa Baa k	жа хайан алал ал	PROPOSED
* 3 2 8 8 8 8 8	EXISTING S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	15,500
	PROPOSED 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	120 DATUM 120
	OFFSET 등 활용 활용용용을 왕중 충명한 등	EXISTING
-1.965 -0.000 -0.000 -1.366 -1.366 -1.367 -1.257 -10.257	CHAINAGE 10000	PROPOSED
25 3 3 493 832 2	2 2 8 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 2	₫ OFFSET
15,000 16,000 16,000 16,000 16,000 16,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EXISTING
12.000 12.01 12.02	PROPOSED \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	アロート PROPOSED
2,585 1,0000	0FFSET = ==================================	11 1989
	CHAINAGE 9950	OFFSET
		DO NOT SCALE Drawn A HORTON Desig

2 28





2 28

15,423 15,542 15

15.522 13.410 13.791 101

19971 CHAINAGE 9800

2 E

DATUM 12.0

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

DATUM 120				-			-		
EXISTING	15672	16000	0000	16.255	16.500 16.511	17,000	17.236	17.500	
PROPOSED		15.018	13,420		13.806 13.947 13.947 13.947 13.947	13.567		- 80971	
OFFSET		-12,421	1999		-1.966 -0.900 0.900 0.900 0.900 0.900	1000	1.3	10.542	

T 11		
CHAINAGE	9850	

g	2	222	

CHAINAGE	9850	
ULANAOL	3000	

OFFS	हा

					월명 또꽃	
DATUM 12.0		M			Ĭ.	
XISTING		80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8				17 12
ROPOSED		11200	14.00 14.00 14.01 14.02 14.02 14.420	14.57 14.57 14.57 14.545	13.972 13.966 14.155	
OFFSET			1,2,500 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000	55,460 55,460 12377 12377 12377	11.538	
	CI	HAINAGE 1035	0 28888		83 2¥	
DATUM 12.0		M		T	M	
EXISTING						
ROPOSED		13.673 13.660 13.667	14,004 14,000 14,0000 14,0000 14,0000 14,0000 14,0000 14,0000 14,0000 14,0000 14,0000 14,0000000000	2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017	13.902 13.575 14.154	
OFFSET		SZ 77 77	2000 00 15 2000 00 15	5556 5556 5556 5556 5556 5556 5556 555	9.902 10.665 11.561	
	C	HAINAGE 1030 ⊉≊≣	0	82 E 82	88 2 ¥	
DATUM 12.0		M			M	
existing		1.001	888 888	25 26 26	- 101 1	
PROPOSED		11.000	4,006 4,400 4,400 4,440 4,440 4,440	44.412 14.557 14.557 14.557 14.4555 14.4555 14.4555 14.4555 14.45555 14.45555555555	13.962 14.166	
OFFSET		9276- 9276-	5550 5600 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000	1984 S	9990 1990 1990	
	C	HAINAGE 1025 ⊉≋≣	0 28538	8 2 8 8 2	88 ž	
DATUM 12.0		M			M	
existing			888	80 80	88.1	11.11
PROPOSED		13,494	13.966 14.017 14.017 14.017 14.017	14.54 14.541	13.042	
OFFSET		4216 4216 1900	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	105.0	
	C	HAINAGE 1020 ≋≊≣	00 55555		995 X	
DATUM 12.0						
existing		H 236	14.200 14.100 14.140	125 851 125 851	1987 1 1987 1 1987 1	R7
PROPOSED		14.231 13.480 13.867	13.966 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.0000 14.00000 14.00000 14.00000 14.00000 14.00000 14.00000 14.000000 14.0000000000	2005 M	13.962	
OFFSET		40.807 19.002 1.2000	1286 00000 00000 00000 00000	5,465 5,465 6,555 7,376 7,376	93001 104882 111889	
	C	HAINAGE 101	50			
						PRELIMINA
ON Design	er S. GEERLINGS	Client LAND	CORP			

1.1

	M			Trí I			
DATUM 12.0	, IW,,,,				-		
XISTING	80 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90				14.163		
ROPOSED	8555 8885 1985	14,000 14,000 17,0000 17,00000 17,0000000000	25.21 11.211	13.972 14.155 14.155			
OFFSET		5000 F	4,827 5,469 5,568 6,538 7,377 8,051	9.902 10.663 11.536			
	CHAINAGE 10350 말호표	M I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	82 8 83	82 2¥			
DATUM 12.0	1			M			
EXISTING							
ROPOSED	5084.61 7884.61	14,016 14,006 14,007 14,007 14,007 14,007	2012 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	13.502 13.575 14.154			
DFFSET	2 47 28 28 29 86 77 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1	295	5,460 5,460 6,556 6,556 8,000 8,000	0.00 10.00 11.00 10.00 1			
	CHAINAGE 10300 ≌≊ଛି		62 E 62	88 5 X			
DATUM 12.0				Ť.			
EXISTING		8997 11000	14,000				
PROPOSED	13,860 13,867	44.00 44.000	44.45 44.55 44.55 44.55 44.55 44.55 44.55 44.55 44.55 44.55 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 45 4	13.555 13.555 14.158			
DFFSET	822 6- 822 6-	2960 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	1921 1231 1231 1231 1231 1231 1231 1231	0000 10000 10000			
	CHAINAGE 10250		82 8 82	88 ž			
DATUM 12.0				M			
existing		80007	14.000 1	14000	14,119		
PROPOSED	13.894 13.800 11.000	13.906 14.547 14.547 14.547 14.407	14.547 14.5477 14.5477 14.5477 14.5477 14.5477 14.54777 14.54777 14.5475	13.942			
OFFSET	1.000 1.000 1.000	80 90 90 55 90 90 90 55	55,465 55,465 7,576 8,010	10%1			
	CHAINAGE 10200			882 ¥			
DATUM 12.0		-		-M			
existing	1 (82 H	- 14.200 - 14.200 - 44.440	100 M	14.367 14.366	IR H		
PROPOSED	13,480	13.886 14.007 14.537 14.537 14.537	** 985 885 885 885 885 885 885 885 885 885	13.562 13.546 14.350			
OFFSET	1005 1005	2000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000	5,466 5,466 6,576 6,576 8,006	99901			
	CHAINAGE 10150)					
					F	RELI	

DATUM 12.0			Щ.		ΠŊ			
EXISTING	14,500	14,500	14,005	15.000	15.367	15,500 15,500 15,500		16.000
	-		un	1111	1111	110	1	
PROPOSED		14.549	13.767	13.906	13.906	13.067	15.064	
		1	001	1111	TITT	100	1	
OFFSET		10,404	1978	985	19 ¥	1900	1961	

0 25 5 75 10 125m SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE

LANDCORP

CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFOR GHD House, 239 Addebide Toe Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3100 Perth WA 6832 Australia To 18 6222 28227 Fo 18 6222 8555 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

			_
	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	D
RMANCE		Drafting Check	DO
	Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	

Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C045.dwg Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2.02 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU

No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawn Job Project Director Date

This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved Drawing No: 61-27426-C045

	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8				
DATUM 11.0			-		
EXISTING					
PROPOSED	12,500	14.108 14.127 14.127 14.127 14.127 14.512	M.512 M.657 M.657 M.657 H.657 H.657	14.052 13.015 12.500	
OFFSET	-161.131 - 46.256 - 7,500	50000 5000000	54500 5471 5471 5471 66013	10,2005	
	CHAINAGE 1075	50			



DATUM 11.0				- - -	7-	-
existing	12500					
		1 11	mm	mmm	0 1	
PROPOSED		12.500 13.740 13.877	14,006 14,117 14,502 14,502		14.042 13.805 12.500	
		1 11	rimini	mmm		-
OFFSET		-10.116 -7.500	1-1-0-0-0-0-1-1-0-0-0-1-1-0-0-0-0-1-1-0	5.410 5.411 5.411 5.411 5.411 7.570 8.013	9.004 10.250 12.217	
	CH	AINAGE 1070	00			

DATUM 11.0			
EXISTING		12.500 12.500 12.500	12500
PROPOSED	12,200	800, M 1 101, M 1 100, M 1 100	10000000000000000000000000000000000000
OFFSET	-10.101	1.250 00000 1.250 1.250 00000000000000000000000000000000000	80012 8.0012 10.2800 10.2800



CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE

CHAINAGE 10600

GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 618 6222 8222 F 618 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

GHD

12/31			E 21	EXISTI
		11 1		
	12871 12871 12871 12871	14,002 13,705 12,705		PROPO
	mmn			
	5.670 5.670 7.578 8.012	9.90M		OFFSE

DO NOT SCALE

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agneed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

Drawn A. HORTON

Approved (Project Director) Date

Scale AS SHOWN

Drafting Check

CHAINAGE 1070	00		
¥ 88	NOT COMP	65 56 36	¥
			3
	12500	1258	12500
í ú	imim	mmm n	1

	DATUM 11.0
	EXISTING
m	

			8 <u>8</u> 8	1			51		Test of the second seco	ž	
DATUM 11.0			TF		F	-	-	IFI	-	7	
existing	13.000	000.81 000.61	13.000	90955 90955	13.000	13.000	13.000	13.000	13.000		13.057
PROPOSED			13.000		100 X X	EVH.	THAT?	LEVA SUN	HIM2	13.024	
OFFSET			1 10061-	Sec. 1		SE	54 S		1050	11.366	
		CHAIN	AGE 1	0550							



DATUM 12.0				-
existing			13.472 13.477 13.500	
	10	mm	intinti in	
PROPOSED	13.280		14.807 14.807 14.807 14.000 14.000 13.407 13.407	
	111	mm	mmm m	-
OFFSET	50077 500077	-1.865 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.0000 -0.000 -0.0000 -00000 -0000 -0000 -0000 -0000 -00000 -00000 -00000 -00000	4.628 5.470 5.537 7.338 7.338 8.601 9.903 9.903 10.676	
1	CHAINAGE 1050	00		

CHAINAGE 1	0500
------------	------

				2 26 26	
DATUM 12.0					
EXISTING	13.676		13.006	13.000 14.000 14.000	14,000
PROPOSED	13.540 13.527	14.000 14.0000 14.00000 14.00000 14.00000 14.00000 14.00000 14.00000 14.0000000000	24422 (4.18)	11 100 1100 1100	
OFFSET	100 H	28000000000000000000000000000000000000		8000 11276	

CHAINAGE 10450

	₹88 				
EXISTING	88	, uuuu	-, ¹¹¹¹¹¹¹¹ 8		
	33	<u> </u>	<u></u>	- 101 -	
PROPOSED	13,550	14.006 14.007 14.007 14.007	14.587 14.587 14.587 14.482 14.482	13.565 13.565 14.143	
	1.001	rmm	mmm	110 1	
OFFSET	428 448 1000	-1.965 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000000	4,609 5,469 6,556 6,556 7,578 8,011	9.903 11.505 11.505	
	CHAINAGE 1040	00			

				0 25 5 75 10 125m SCALE 1 250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	XX
Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date		LANDCORP
Ci	d File No:	G \61\27426	CADD\Dra	wings\61-27425-0046 dwg	

No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing		Drawn	Job Manager		
Plot	Date: 13 F	ebruary 2012 - 2:02 PM	Plotted by:	Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU	C	ad File No:

EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET

DATUM 12.0

DATUM 11.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 11.0 ING 2200 OSED



CHAINAGE 10950



CHAINAGE 10900



CHAINAGE 10850



CHAINAGE 10800

					PRELIMINARY	
1	Designer S. GEERLINGS	Client	LANDCORF			
	Design Check	Project Title	KEMERTON CROSS SEC CHA 10400	I INDUSTRIAL PARK CTIONS - MCGR - CHA 10950		
	This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved	Onginal Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C04	6 Rev: A	A

DATUM 12.0			28			¥ 1		
existing	15.000 -	15.000 1 1.	15,000	15,000	15.000	15,000	15,000	15,000 -
PROPOSED		15.000 13.660 14.067	81 12 19 10 11	102.11	14.147	15.000		
OFFSET		- 000001- 16085	996		4081 4081	6.540		
	CHA	INAGE 11	150					

CHAINAGE 11100



CHAINAGE 11050

	a see		82 2 33	
DATUM 12.0		- FIHR -		· · · · ·
XISTING	13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000	13.000	11000	13.000
	1.11		mmm	0.1
ROPOSED	13,000		2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017 2017	14.102 13.005 13.005
	1.11		mmm	11 1
FFSET	-0.455 -1.2266 -7.200	-1.965 -0.000 0.900 1.375	5.473 5.473 8.540 7.381 8.014	9,006 10,262 11,562

0 25 5 7.5 10 125m

SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE

CHAINAGE 11000

	928 	
DATUM 12.0		
EXISTING	14,500	11500
PROPOSED	00511 00511 1007 M	901.M 752.M 7752.M 7752.M 7752.M 7752.M 801.M 801.M
OFFSET	- ■ 998747- 998747-	2,2500 2,2000 2,
	CHAINAGE 112	00

No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date		
Plot	Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:02 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU	Ca	d File No:	G:\61\2742	5\CADD\Dra	wings\61-27426-C047.dwg	



	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLINGS	Client	LAND
PLE PERFORMANCE	and the	Drafting Check	Design Check	Project	KEME
/A 6004	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	Title	CROS	
om	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved	Original Size	Draw



CHA 1100	0 - CHA 11200	
Drawing No	61-27426-C047	

DATUM 12.0		
EXISTING	ĝ	13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000 13.000
PROPOSED	000011 000001 00000 000011 000000	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
OFFSET	9889	2008 2008 2008 2008 2008 2008 2008



CHAINAGE 100



CHAINAGE 50



			100						1			
DA	TUM 12.0	F	1	THE			Ŧ	-]	-	-		
EXISTING		13.401	13.302	89761						13.362	13.360	13428
PROPOSE	Ð		13,650	13.856		14.160 14.164	22 X X X	14.124	-			
OFFSET			-12.153	10.342 P		2008	2000 00000	3900	-			
			CH		E 400	0			NA NA		-	
DA	TUM 12.0	F-					Ŧ	-]-	1-	-		
EXISTING	5					888	1100	12000				
PROPOSE	D		13.818	00011 14.570 00011		14.001 11.001		13.064	- ODTEL			
OFFSET			-11.965	00500- 00500- 00500- 005-0-	ELMP	-2006	800 800	8	1100			
		N. N	CH	AINAGI 2 1 1	E 350) 29			1			
DA	TUM 12.0	1-		-1	Ŧ		Ŧ	-P	-			
EXISTING						1	13005					
PROPOSE	D	13.045		13,000	13,800	13,000	2005	13.048				
OFFSET		-16.010		10010	-7.118 -6.030	-2008	00000	3,000				
				AINAGE	E 300)						
DAT	TUM 12.0		T		- 1	TENT	Ŧ		-			
EXISTING		13,421										
PROPOSE	D		13.412 13.660		13.798		22 22 22 22 25 26 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 2	13.700				
OFFSET			-13.322		6138 5281		2002	1100 F				
			CH	AINAGE 28	250							
	DATUM 12.0			- 11		- 1	FIR	-11	-	-		
E	XISTING								13,183 -	13.175 -		13.153
Р	ROPOSED			13.500		13.706	13.73	13.734				
H	-		+	m		rmu	ппп	ш	-	-	_	

CHAINAGE 200

-9.413

EXISTING	8877 8877 8877 8877 8877 8877 8877 887	
PROPOSED	メ オ メ オ オ オ オ オ 別 方 第 第 約 第 約 8 第 約 8 第 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
OFFSET	1000 Fr. 1000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	
	CHAINAGE 650 See See See See See See See See See See	
DATUM 13.0		
EXISTING		
PROPOSED		
OFFSET	4.4.54 1.1200 0.0200 1.1300 1.1300 1.1300 1.1300	
	CHAINAGE 600 알림플 포핑별 레리코 레리보	
DATUM 13.0		
EXISTING		
PROPOSED		
OFFSET	4138 14 4258 12 000 12 000 10 000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100000 100000 100000 1000000	
	CHAINAGE 550 보 월 포동호령관 공보	
DATUM 12.0		
existing		
PROPOSED		
OFFSET	4.776 -7.1000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.000 -2.100 -2.100 -2.100 -2.000 -2.100 -2.100 -2.0000 -2.00000 -2.0000	
	CHAINAGE 500 보표 포칭협정명로 표보	
DATUM 12.0		
Existing	True to the total of to	
PROPOSED	2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015	
OFFSET	-1.100 -1.100 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.000 -1.1000 -1.0000 -1.10000 -1.10000 -1.1000 -1.1000 -1.1000 -1.10000 -1.10000	

DATUM 13.0		
Existing	4,500 4,500	
PROPOSED		
OFFSET	4 1 1 20 00 1 1 1 1 20 00 1 1 20 00 1 1 1 20 00 1 1 20 00 1 1 20 00 1 1 20 00 1 1 20 00 1 20 0	
	CHAINAGE 650 알프륨 포팅토 BB로 BB로	
DATUM 13.0		
existing	- 085.34 - 085.34 - 085.44 - 0	
PROPOSED		
OFFSET	1,200 1,200	
	CHAINAGE 600 말한 표 관광 회원과 권리 학	
DATUM 13.0		
Existing	867 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	
PROPOSED		
DFFSET	2 2008 1 3 300 5 04 5 04 1 3 300 5 04 5 05 5 0 5 0	
	CHAINAGE 550 호텔 포동토에 관동	
DATUM 12.0		
existing		
PROPOSED		
OFFSET	4778 9000 9000 9000 9000 9000 9000 9000 9	
	CHAINAGE 500 모표 포동법회료과 관 보	
DATL M 12.0		
EXISTING	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	
ROPOSED		
OFFSET		
	CHAINAGE 150	

Design Check

						0 25 5 75 10 12 SCALE 1 250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date	



OFFSET

GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFO GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PC Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	
ORMANCE	Contribution of the	Drafting Check Approved (Project Director) Date	
	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)		
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	

- -

13.000

- -----

13.134

uary 2012 - 2:01 PM

Designer S. GEERLINGS Client LANDCORP **KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK** roject **CROSS SECTIONS - MCER** Title CHA 0 - CHA 650 This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless gind as Approved A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C055 Rev: A





15.050 15.0500 15.0500 15.0500 15.0500 15.0500 15.0500 15.0500 15.0500 1

TIT THEFT IN THEFT

15.50 15.60

BRE 2

M

11.960

110

11.00H

228







OFFSET

	夏后周	<u> </u>	
٥			
	15,500 15,500 15,500	ts.000 ts.0000 ts.0000 ts.0000 ts.000 ts.000 ts.000 ts.000 ts.000 ts.000 ts.000	
	1 mi		
	15,480 14,560 14,940	15.08 15.08	
	1 mi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	9900)- 1998/1- 1998/1-	-2006 -2006 -0.554 -1.575 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -2.006 -0.554 -2.006 -0.554 -2.006 -0.554 -2.006 -0.554 -2.006 -0.554 -2.006 -0.554 -2.006 -0.554 -2.006 -0.554 -2.006 -2.5006 -2.506 -2.5006 -2	
	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY		



CHAINAGE 1150

0 25 5 75 10 125m	XAX	
SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE		GHD House 239 Adelaide To

LANDCORP

GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORM
GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3100 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permai@ght.com au W www.ght.com

	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLIN
ANCE	Conditions of the	Drafting Check	Design Check
	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not used for Construction used for Approved

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:02 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C056.dwg



DATUM 13.0

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

CHAINAGE 1050

14.860 14.860

11.467 -5.681

.....

2 E 8

000

16.425

	2 E B	a 98 963 9 9 96a	e s	
DATUM 13.0				
EXISTING	15.607 15.500 15.500 15.500 15.500	15310	15.111 15.000	15000 14.986
	1 111		110 1	
PROPOSED	1, 15500 1, 1, 1600 1, 1, 1600	15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000	14.940 15.042	
1	1 101		100-1	_
OFFSET	101.01 1988.6 1989.6 1980.6 1	2008 1.253 1.213 1	12.136 12.018 13.714	
		1		

HAINAGE	1000

		28 B		-	82	#8 2	
DATUM 13.0						TM-	
EXISTING	15.178		15.000	15.000	15.000	15,000 15,000 15,000	15.000
PROPOSED		1 III	7	2 2 2 2 2	888	111 1 8888	
	_	1 UII 52 Z		111 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 II 15 2 2	1111 I 2121 151	
OFFSET		-0.500 -7.500		-2.008 -0.900 1.505	2675 4.481 1881	8.578 9.362 10.034	
	CHA	INAGE	950				

No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawn Job Project Director Date

8708

CHAINAGE 700

4576 33800

	2 1	2 35 3 82	33 33		뙲읦폏	
-		-	-	- []]		
		9614		14.305 14.305	14.200	
1	m					
	1.14	15.050 15.050 15.450 15.450 15.450 15.650 15.5500 15.5500 15.5500 15.5500 15.5500 15.5500 15.5500 15.5500 15.5500	15.020 14.763 15.020	15.000 15.000 15.000 15.000	14.200 14.221	
	iii				11.1	
	0007/2-	807 987 987 987 987 987 987 987 987 987 98	350 510 510	7,992 8,100 10,000 11,375 11,375	17,900	
-						

CHAINAGE 1300

		A B BBBA	劉思 武	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
000251	0001 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000	55000 55000 55000 5000 5000 5000 5000	546741 8396741	
1 101			rmr	-
8937 8937 9927	45,000 45,0000000000	15,000 15,100 15,100 15,100 15,0000 15,00000 15,00000 15,0000 15,00000 15,00000 15,00000 15,00000 15,00000 15,000000 15,0000000000	14,200	
1 101	111 11111 11111		IMI	
-7,000 10,00 7,000	-2006 -2006 -2554 -1375 -2006 -4.861 -4.861 -4.861 -4.861 -4.661	7.962 8.100 10.000 11.375 12.008	17,800	
CHAINAGE 12	50			

E 8	<u>7 95 98</u> 282 28 29 59 59 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	
×		
15.007	15,20 15,20 15,200 15,2	
14.940	80,251 80,252 80,552	
10051-	2,200 2,200 2,155 1,	Ĩ
		-

			PRELIMINARY
Client Project	LANDCORF	NINDUSTRIAL PARK	
Title	CROSS SEC CHA 700 - C	CTIONS - MCER CHA 1300	
Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C05	6 Rev: A
	Client Project Title	Client LANDCORF Project KEMERTON Tite CROSS SEC CHA 700 - C	Client LANDCORP Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK Tite CROSS SECTIONS - MCER CHA 700 - CHA 1300 Organi Size A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C050


10051

CHAINAGE 1350

No Revision Note:

Plot Date: 13 February 20



CHAINAGE 1600

EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	
	-
DATUM 13.0	

PROPOSED

OFFSET

DATUM 13.0

DATUM 13.0	-
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 13.0	-
existing	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

					0 25 5 75 10 125m	XX	GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE
indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date	SCALE 1.250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	LANDCORP	GHD House, 239 Adelaide Toe Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 618 6222 8222 F 618 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com
12 - 2:02 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU	C	ad File No:	G:\61\27426	CADD\Drawings\61-2	7426-C057 dwg		

Drawn A. HORTON DO NOT SCALE Designer S. GEERLINGS Drafting Check Design Check Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHDS client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose. Approved (Project Director) Date This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved Scale AS SHOWN



CHA 1350 - CHA 2050

A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C057



No Revision Note Plot Date: 13 February



	-
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	
EXISTING	
	_
OFFSET	

DAT	AN 13.0			
EXISTING		2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,2,500 2,500 2,50		
PROPOSED)	00571		
OFFSET		0051- 0051-	- 1-155 - 1-15	
		CHAINAGE 2800	전 18월 1988년 - 원명주 1990년 - 1997년 - 1997년 1997년 - 1997년 -	
DATL	M 13.0			
EXISTING		- 1011	82.FX 92.FX 11. TIM	
PROPOSED		98971 11 1000	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	
OFFSET		1,200 1,200 1,200	- 1.00 - 1.55 -	
		CHAINAGE 2750	50 2 85 8 8 2 8 2	
DATU	 M 13.0			
EXISTING			89 	
PROPOSED		11,200 14,203 14		
OFFSET		48761 -7.200	- 1.00 - 1.55 -	
	(CHAINAGE 2700	전 원질 등 명의 후)0	1.4
DATU	W 13.0	- M		
Existing	895 805 805	1000	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	
PROPOSED		11,500	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	
OFFSET		-7,500 -7,500 -7,500	2, 2, 26 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2	
	(CHAINAGE 2650 ≌≊≣	◎ 26回 26回 2 26回 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	
DATU	M 13.0	M		
EXISTING		1011	8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
PROPOSED		128.41 207.41 049.41	5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000 5,000	
OFFSET		-107 -12268 -12268	- 200 - 6159 - 1575 - 1	
	(CHAINAGE 2600	00	
			PRELIMIN	ARY
			00000	

DATUM 13.0	- 1
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

						~				
			100					DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLING
	-	-	-		0 25 5 75 10 125m	GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE	Confidence (1) in	Drafting Check	Design Check	
: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing					SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	A. A.	GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PC Box Y3108 Perth WA 6832 Australia	GHD's client (and any only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date	
	wing Drawn	Drawn M	Job Manager	Project Director	Date		LANDCORP	T 618 6222 8222 F 618 6222 8555 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	As prepared / other Scale AS SHOWN pse.
2012 - 2:02 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU	C	ad File No:	G \61\2742	6\CADD\Drawings	V61-27425-C058 dwg					

A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C058





CHAINAGE 2950

DATIB/120		988 		¥ 88		i 		
Divide 13.0	I		1	-10	<u> </u>	11	1	
EXISTING	14,000		14,000	14,000	14600	14,000	14,000	14,000
PROPOSED		1-11		m				-
		11.960		15.060				
		<u> </u>				_	-	
OFFSET		-7,900		-0.900		8		

CHAINAGE 2900

	2	a se ses			
DATUM 13.0					
XISTING	11 201	87	14.376	14.302	14.301
ROPOSED	14,280	ts 1500 1510 1510 1500 1500 1500 11111111	11.1000 11.1000		
FFSET	1/200	2008	0055		

25 5 7.5

SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE

CHAINAGE 2850

DATUM 12.0			- ħ-		-
EXISTING		14,000	000791	14.000 14.000 14.000	
PROPOSED	1.11	111 11111	11 1	S	-
	124	15,000 15,000 15,400 15,400 15,000	15.000 14.700 14.000 14.000		
	1.0		11 1		-
OFFSET	-7.500	2008			
	CHAINAGE 320	0			-



CHAINAGE 3150

			2 28 2 22	88 ¥				
DATUM 13.0		-				-		
EXISTING	ă	11.054	14,160		14.774	14.749	14.733	
PROPOSED	82 X X		80 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	15.000 14.751				
OFFSET	180 000 7 292 000 7 2000		2 2 2000 2 2 2000 2 2 2000	3,000				
		-		_	_	-		

CHAINAGE 3100

DATUM 13.0		- 11	+ + -]	
EXISTING	14.210 14.210	11,200	14.247 14.247	
		rn.		
PROPOSED		11,209	15.000 15.0000 15.00000 15.00000 15.00000 15.00000 15.00000 15.00000 15.00000 15.00000 15.000000 15.0000000000	
		1.0		
OFFSET		9087- 9087-	-2008 -0.800 0.534 1.375 2.000 3.1900 5.040	
	-	1111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1		

CHAINAGE 3050

DATUM 13.0	
EXISTING	12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 13.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 12.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DAT	UM 13.0	-
EXISTING		807
PROPOSEL)	
OFFSET		

								PRELIMINARY
and the second		DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLINGS	Client	LANDCOR	P	
1 Y	GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE	Conditions of Line	Drafting Check	Design Check	Project	KEMERTON	N INDUSTRIAL PARK	
1	GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3100 Perth WA 6832 Australia	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date		Title	CROSS SE CHA 2850 -	CTIONS - MCER - CHA 3400	
LANDCORP	T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C059	9 Rev: A

 No
 Revision
 Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing
 Dra. wn
 Jobb
 Projector
 Date

 Piol Date:
 13 February 2012 - 2.02 PM
 Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/PeriNGHD/AU
 Cad File No:
 61/61/24/26/CADD/Drawings/61-22428-C050 dwg



CHAINAGE 3400



CHAINAGE 3350



 55
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50
 50<

CHAINAGE 3250



	정된 조원질원감 문화
DATUM 13.0	<u></u>
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	002.11 002.12 000.12 000.12 000.12 000.12 000.12 000.12 000.12 000.12 0000000000
OFFSET	448 448 458 458 458 458 458 458 458 458
	CHAINAGE 3900 오프 포 853 88로 프 보
DATUM 13.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	052.14 092.12 092.12 092.12 092.12 092.12 092.14 092.14 092.14 092.14 092.14 092.14
OFFSET	6520 1212 1212 1212 1212 1212 1212 1212 1
	CHAINAGE 3850 모표 포 18월 38로 표 보
DATUM 13.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	02-24 0-
OFFSET	440 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
	CHAINAGE 3800 오퍼프 도 방법 방리로 관련 보
DATUM 13.0	
EXISTING	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	2,200 2,200 5,68 5,68 5,68 5,68 5,68 5,68 5,68 5,68
	CHAINAGE 3750 모형태 도 평멸 평리로 원리 보
DATUM 13.0	
EXISTING	8 899 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
PROPOSED	2522 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
OFFSET	

CHAINAGE 3700

DATUM 13.0	
existing	5
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	
	CHAINA
DATUM 13.0	
	1

DATUM 13.0

DATUM 13.0

14000

1997 H

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

DATUM 13.0

EXISTING	14500
dame.	
PROPOSED	14,500
OFFSET	985

CHAINAGE 3950

Design Check



Plot Date 13 February 2012 - 2.02 PM Plotted by Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU

Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C060.dwg





	전문 원	¥ 95 962	882 8		Mee 2	
DATUM 13.0			M			
EXISTING	80 55	2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007 2007	15,402		15.500 15.500 15.500	
warm.	1 001		11 111		im i	
PROPOSED	11,500	15.066 15.066 15.466 15.466	15.020 14.633 16.020	15.058 15.050 15.480 15.480 15.480	14,560	
OFFSET	1 111	111 11111	11 111		110 1	
	1986 1987 1986 1986 1986 1986	-2.006 -0.500 1.375 2.006	3.900 5.319 6.100	7,902 9,100 11,375 11,375 11,375	17,200 18,581 20.101	

CHAINAGE 4300

		22 a		22 2 2	23 5962	團문 또걸	
DATUM 13.0				M			
EXISTING	833	45.000 000 000	15.000 15.000	15,000	15.008 15.008 15.005	15.41	
Sector Contemport		1.001		11 111		101-1	-
PROPOSED	_	15000 14,500 14,940	15.069 15.000 15.600 15.600 15.600	15.020 14.633 15.020	15.068 15.060 15.625 15.480 15.068	14.563	
		1 001		11 101		110 1	
OFFSET		1978-1- 1978-1-	-2008 0.553 1.375 2.006	3.900 5.319 6.100	7,962 9,100 10,000 11,375 12,006	17.900 18.81 19.555	
							-

CHAINAGE 4250

	28	¥ 96982 88 88 28 8	963 93
DATUM 13.0			
EXISTING	11.201	11.480 17.4.11 14.480	90511 90511
PROPOSED	36.2% 11	15,000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	15,500 15,000 14,940 14,500 14,500
OFFSET	1906		111 III 1000 0000

CHAINAGE 4200



- - -DATUM 13.0 EXISTING 13141 2441 2441 2003 21 2003 21 15,000 15,000 15,151 15,151 15,500 15,500 15,500 PROPOSED 11,500 14.940 14.560 1 111 110 1 OFFSET 22000 22000 22000 2415 5428 11.336 197 CHAINAGE 4500

SE B DATUM 13.0 EXISTING 15.005 15.112 15.457 15.500 15.500 15.016 16.000 16.000 16.200 11 111110 1111111 PROPOSED 15.17 14.940 14.940 15.066 15.066 15.066 15.066 15.066 15.066 15.066 M.560 M.563 1 101 TTT TITT III TTILLIT OFFSET 1478-14.911

CHAINAGE 4450

9 E 8	2 35 382	832 B	23 5352	88æ 9
15.401	15,500	15.747	15.000	2
1 1011		11 111	imi iri	IM I
16.221 14.565 14.960	15.000 15.000 15.000 15.000 15.000	15.020 14.633 15.020	15.056 15.050 15.655 15.655 15.059	14.940 14.563 18.306
1 111		11 111	. mir iti	IM I
1878 1987 1906 17-	2008 2008 2008 2008	3200	7,958 8,006 8,906 11,341 11,575	17.867 18.848 21.367
	4 68 88 4 68 85 4 64 85 4 64 85 4 65 85 4 65 85 4 65 85 1 101 1 10	2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,	State State <th< td=""><td>6.686 6.268 4.853 1.380 4.853 4.853 1.380 4.853 4.853 1.380 6.268 4.853 1.380 6.588 4.853 1.380 6.588 4.853 1.380 6.588 4.853 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 <td< td=""></td<></td></th<>	6.686 6.268 4.853 1.380 4.853 4.853 1.380 4.853 4.853 1.380 6.268 4.853 1.380 6.588 4.853 1.380 6.588 4.853 1.380 6.588 4.853 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.380 6.588 5.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 1.388 6.588 6.588 <td< td=""></td<>

CHAINAGE 4400



EXISTIN _ PROPO

s	Client	LANDCORF	and the second se	
	Project	KEMERTON	N INDUSTRIAL PARK	
	Title	CROSS SEC CHA 4200 -	CTIONS - MCER CHA 4260	
o less	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C061	Rev: A

PRELIMINARY

CHAINAGE 4560

DATUM 13.0		
EXISTING	001212 00012121 00001212 00001212 00001212 00001212 0001212 0001212 0001212 0001212 0001212 00012 00012 00012 00012 00012 00012 00012 00012 00012 00012 00012 00012 00012 00000000	15,118
PROPOSED	852 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	55.55 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 5
OFFSET		1984



		92	E 8 8		885 2	8
DATUM 23.0			TIT			1
EXISTING	25.500 25.500 25.500 25.500		- 00512	- 005152	- 94532	1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1
PROPOSED		25.500	24,235 24,659 24,654	24,574 -	24.854	-
OFFSET		849.6-	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0000	600 400 2000 2000	L. Contraction of the second s
	CHAINAGE	1450 2	040 040	0.0		
DATUM 23.0			YIT.	T		
existing	25.000 25.000 25.000 25.000			22,000	24.785	24.500 24.5000 24.5000 24.5000 24.5000 24.5000 24.5000 24.5000 24.5000 24.5000 24.5000000000000000000000000000000000000
PROPOSED		25.000	24.856 24.8542 24.8542	TIENS	781.42 2720.42 2021.42 0621.42	
OFFSET		6.167	24 44 4 20 800 4	00000	4000 51,50 5	
	CHAINAGE	1400			-	
		2 r		5		
DATUM 23.0	1		444			1 1
existing	888 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88			24.751	15.005 M	X155 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1000 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X1100 X100X X100 X00 X
PROPOSED		SALES	24.342 24.865 24.860	24.900	24.500 24.575 24.410	
OFFSET		0191-	51-52 50-57 50 50-57 50 50-57 50 50 50-57 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	-0000	5.100 29.25	
	CHAINAGE	1350				
DATIN 230						
				- 11		
EXISTING	24.000 24.000	24500	34.500	24,500	3000	X 000
PROPOSED		M CM		HORNE	24.000 24.000	
OFFSET		199	22 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	00070-	4,000 5,182 7,217	
	CHAINAGE	1300			888	2
DATUM 21.0						
existing	100512 100210 100200000000	24500	24500	24500	22.2400	21600 2167 2167 2167 2167 2167 2167 2167 2167
PROPOSED		Mark	SA REC	- LING WE	24.867	23.654
OFFSET				0007	80 53 53	6200

CHAINAGE 1250



DATUM 23.0 EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET

DISTOR	
EXISTING	800 92
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	



No Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Draw

0 25 5 7.5 10 12.5m SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE



CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMAN GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLING	
NCE		Drafting Check	Design Check	
	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date		
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not t used for Construction us signed as Approved	

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:04 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C065.dwg

				PRELIMINARY	
SS	Client	LANDCORF)		
	Project	KEMERTON	INDUSTRIAL PARK		
	Title	CROSS SEC CHA 1040 -	CTIONS - MC1D CHA 1650		
be niess	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C06	5 Rev: A	

P	REI	IM	INA	RY

CHAINAGE	1500

		888 2	2	
888 888 8	89		88 88	E ×
ALES ALESS ALESS ALESS	out the	24,000 24,000 24,000	22:50	
	-1		1	
1000 T	000	5.182	8897 6	
	41t5 - 3431 - 2400 - 41t2 - 3450 - 2566 - 41t0 - 41	4.12 4	4.15 4	418 438 438 438 438 438 438 438 43

CHAINAGE 1550

	-	M	Π	1	1	M		
	8	8	8	6.8				
1	10	8			- 1			
000182		80. M	INANS 21.BAT	24.967	THENS	24.061	22 BBG	
1				1	,		-1	
-11.198		6.162		600	4004	5,182 6,182	10.147	

CHAINAGE 1600

	9	2 8 8		888 E	2	
-		TIT			1	
	111 1 11		101 11	- 41.1	1 11	
	26.500 26.500 26.262 27.262 27.262 27.262 27.262 27.262 27.262 27.262 27.272 27	26,000	22 BM 000 28 000 000	ZERIS	25.610	
	1	1111	1		1	
	29.428	N 202 M	MAR	MAN SALES	22.658	
	1	1111	T		T	
	-12582	14 4 4 4 19 9 9 9 9	0000	4000 5,152 6,152 5,252	10.163	





CHAINAGE 1800



CHAINAGE 1750



CHAINAGE 1700

		22 8 8	0.5	888 22	
DATUM 23.0			1		
existing	DFC 20	85 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86 86	1	888	8 8 8 8 8 * * * * *
PROPOSED		25 25 20 25 2	005142	24.500 24.500	
OFFSET		45,000 4,150 4,150 1,150	0000	52 1000 50 1000 50 1000	
	CHAINAGE	2100			
		22 E 8	5	888 22	
DATUM 23.0					
existing	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	N 20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		24,500 24,500 24,500	
PROPOSED		24.286 24.286 24.286 24.286	SALES	24.513 24.500 24.500	A
OFFSET		82 9 82 9	00000	5.162 5.162	
	CHAINAGE	2050	1	1.1.1	
		2 2 5 8	5	888 2 2	
DATUM 23.0					
existing	R.			24.656 24.655	
PROPOSED		85 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	105.45	14742 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987	
OFFSET		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6000	4,000 5,182 6,182 7,216	
	CHAINAGE	2000			
			80	888 2 2	
DATUM 23.0					1 1 11 1
existing	ki ki 82 83	28.00 28.00 28.00 29.00 20.000	1981	MARK RAMA	
PROPOSED		25.025 24.005 24	DADAD	NAME OF A LOCAL OF A L	
OFFSET		200514 2013-000014	00070-	5,160 6,162 7,860 7,860	
	CHAINAGE	1950		1.5	
		atte atte	5	888 e e	
DATUM 23.0	1				n n n
EXISTING	N SQ	88 25 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X5 X			
PROPOSED		25.228 24.200 24.200 24.200	ENENS	A 100 A 100	
OFFSET		1 111 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	0000	800 F 100 F	

CHAINAGE 1900

GHD

EXISTING	
PROPOSED	_
OFFEFT	_

Г	DATUM 22.0	
E	EXISTING	
P	ROPOSED	
0	FFSET	

DATUM 22.0	
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 22.0	-
EXISTING	
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

DATUM 23.0	
EXISTING	85%
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	

		-	-		
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job	Project	Date

0 2.5 5 7.5 10 12.5m SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE



CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3108 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLI			
A	Drafting Check	Design Check			
Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date				
for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must r used for Constructio signed as Approved			

Plot Date 13 February 2012 - 2:04 PM Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C066.dwg Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/Al

	2	8	5	885	2		
	8 8	55 55				2678	
8888 8	8 8	SI SI			4 488	8 8	
	23.000	1007 HQ	3488	24.518	2260		
	10001-	5.182	0000	4.000 5.162	02201		
CHAINAGE	2350 2	040	QUD		8		
23,428 23,308 22,308 22,308		995			072.62 042.62 716.62	2 ,171	
	- ISCEZ	24.511 24.701	218.12	24.78T	are		
	916	<u>8</u> 8	8	8 9	-		
CHAINAGE	¥ 2300	₩¥	10	is	3		
	2	88	5		2		
101 801 1		- -	- -	- - 	<u> </u>		
2558 2558 2558 2558 2558 2558 2558 2558	22,500		23,500	22,500	23,500	244	
	23,500	24.500	SHEED	MANS WEAK	23.481		
	521	4,000	0000	51 12 1000 1	6.503		
CHAINAGE	2250						
	9 T	5 8 - -			2 		0.9
88						*	
X X			-		1	27	
	23,600	24.518	24.823	24.503	23.709		
	BAC L:	4,000	0000	4.000 5.182	1909		
CHAINAGE	2200	9 040					
 	 11		- 1 -				
19 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	34.265	24.218 24.187 24.178	8 E X	24.164		27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	
		NAME OF COLUMN	Lank	100%			
			0	5 5 5 5 			
000000	0450	844 844	000	804 81.8			
GHAINAGE	2100						

throt be derivered at Drawing No: 61-27426-C066





Design Check

27,600



7.5 SCALE 1:250 AT ORIGINAL SIZE





	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON
FORMANCE	Conditions of the	Drafting Check
	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:04 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C067.dwg





a de la de l

.

.



CHAINAGE 2800



CHAINAGE 2750

PRELIMINARY Designer S. GEERLINGS LANDCORP Client **KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK CROSS SECTIONS - MC1D** CHA 2400 - CHA 2850 This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C067 Rev: A





23000

11,368

CHAINAGE 3100

25.244 25.244 25.264 25.264

5.162 4.000 5.162 5.162

PROPOSED

OFFSET

SCALE 1.250 AT

EXISTING	1971K
PROPOSED	
OFFSET	
DATUM 22.0	-
DATUM 22.0 Existing	000022
DATUM 22.0 EXISTING PROPOSED	000152
DATUM 22.0 EXISTING PROPOSED OFFSET	00012

DATUM 24.0

DATUM 23.0

DATUM 23.0

DATUM 22.0

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

EXISTING

PROPOSED

OFFSET

5 10 125m			DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERLINGS	Client	LANDCORP	
	LANDCORP	GHD CLIENTS PEOPLE PERFORMANCE GHD House, 239 Adelaide Toc Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 632 Australia T 61 8 6222 822 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the pupose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Drafting Check	Design Check	Project	KEMERTON INDU	
IGINAL SIZE				Approved (Project Director) Date		Title	CROSS SECTIONS CHA 2900 - CHA 3	
				Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved	Onginel Size	Drawing No: 61-	
	LANDCORP	T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permai@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com	and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must not be used for Construction unless signed as Approved	Onginel Size	Drawing No: 6	

CHOTZ

1,528

Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing Drawn Job Project Director Date Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:04 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C068.dwg

				885 :	29						
		Щ		_III,	Ц.,-	г г			II		
2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	25.558 25	52,500		25.500	8898		899	26.25	25.50	245 245	
	5		1692 -	25.734	22,200						
	C1819	2 98	00000	4,000	9999						
CHA	INAGE 3500		8								
			-		1		-		23	-	
	A STORY	24.969	TRUN TRUN TRUN TRUN TRUN TRUN TRUN TRUN						24,928	100 100	
		26.560	22,000	25.56	- 855 HZ						
		400 400 F	0000	5155 5155	I IIII						
CHA	INAGE 3450										
		5 5			3				-	-	
		5			1		88	4 84		8	
	1.8 8.88 1 1		7	8		4 8 8	A Z	4 74 2	4 74	~	
	24.88	22 22	1 ISA		- 24.30			-		-	
	85Y1-	5.162 4.000	0000	4,000	10072						
CHA	INAGE 3400	8	8	885	2						
					7				-	-	10
		34,000	88 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8			2000				34.000	
	24,000	24,556	25,161	25.041	24,000 -						
	- 65/2	4000	- 00070	\$,100 5,162	- 051.1						
CHA	INAGE 3350								-		
	SN	- II -								_	
1	88							-		875	
1			8		1		_		_	8	
	23.719	24.700	24.910	24.790	- 23.761					_	
	WE	4,000	8890	4,000 5,162	2007						
CHA	INAGE 3300										
						_		PF	RE	LIMIN	ARY
ERLINGS	Client LAN Project KEM Title CRO	DCOR ERTO SS SE		JSTRI IS - M	AL C1E	PAR	к				

27426-C068

		M	255	9	825 6	3				
DATUM 25.0			Ш			1			п	_
EXISTING	91712		27.160	27.100	007/Z		00512	00712	000712	1000
PROPOSED			26.345 26.840 26.864	20.004	26.00	- 000772				
OFFSET		-8.815 -	4,000	- 0000	600 515 515 515 515 515 515 515 515 515 5	8.146				
	CHAINAGE	3750								-
		2	8 0 0		888 2	2				
DATUM 25.0					TIT	1				
EXISTING	900122 900122 900122	21,000				_	12.22	81.82	267.05	
PROPOSED		27,000	28.215 28.718 28.734	SEE	26.734 26.548 28.215	20,023				
OFFSET		1575	1 98 9 88	89	8 3 3 3 8 3 3 3	9009				
	CHAINAGE	3700								
		2		5	888 2	2				
DATUM 25.0	1	-	MI			1				
Existing	0051Z 0051Z	21.202		27,000 27,000 27,000				28.673	26,500	
PROPOSED		NSE IZ	200 R	199792	28.545 28.356 29.250	28.62M				
OFFSET		-10.119	1 999 1 999	0000	80 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	- 19578				
	CHAINAGE	3650								
			2 8 8	5	885 e	2				
DATUM 24.0			TIT		\square	1				
EXISTING	000112 000112 000112 000112 000112 000112	80012		100.02	22.22			- 515192	9522	20200
PROPOSED		27,000	11.22	28,416	26:206 26:110 25:71	- 199792				
OFFSET		- 1987.6-	29 98 94 29 98 94 1 1 1 1	00000	6,000 5,100 6,100 1,100	8.653				
	CHAINAGE	3600 g	640 040 050	60	828 2	2				
DATUM 24.0		1	TIT	1		1 -				
EXISTING	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	28,000		1 000 NR	24 100 24 103		00098	2000	0072	
PROPOSED		28,000	25.528	192 FE	26.045 25.566	BBDB				
OFFSET		- NOT	51 50 54 50 56 50 54 50 56 50 54 50 56 50 5	- 00070-	4.000 5.162 6.162	1 1001				
	CHAINACE	2550				-				_

-			-			
No	Revision	Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date

0	25	5	7.5	10	12.5m
S	CALE 1	250 A	T ORIGI	VAL SIZ	E





	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn A. HORTON	Designer S. GEERL	
	Conditions of Like	Drafting Check	Design Check	
	This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approved (Project Director) Date		
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale AS SHOWN	This Drawing must used for Construct signed as Approved	

Plot Date: 13 February 2012 - 2:05 PM Plotted by: Yu-Chi Hu/Perth/GHD/AU

RLINGS	Client Project	LANDCOR	NINDUSTRIAL PARK	
	Title	CROSS SE CHA 3550 -	CTIONS - MC1D CHA 3750	
ust not be uction unless ved	Onginal Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C06	9 Rev: A





В	MINOR CHANGES TO TITLE BLOCK	KA	EW	PB	20.03.15
A	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	SMG			01.05.12
No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date

Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:54 PM

Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C101.dwg

LANDCORP **KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK** 61-27426

LOCALITY PLAN NOT TO SCALE





GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

DO NOT SCALE	Drawn	B.YAO	Designer	B.YAO
Conditions of Lise	Drafting Check	E.WRIGHT	Design Check	E.WRIGHT
This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approve (Project Date	d Director)		
for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale	AS SHOWN	This used signe	Drawing must not b I for Construction ur ed as Approved
	•		-	

DRG No.
61-27426-C101
61-27426-C110
61-27426-C111
61-27426-C112
61-27426-C113
61-27426-C114
61-27426-C115
61-27426-C116
61-27426-C117
61-27426-C117

DRAWING LIST

DRAWING TITLE
OCALITY PLAN AND DRAWING INDEX
SPUR LINE - CHA 0 - CHA 1400
SPUR LINE - CHA 1400 - CHA 2800
SPUR LINE - CHA 2800 - CHA 4200
SPUR LINE - CHA 4200 - CHA 5600
SPUR LINE - CHA 5600 - CHA 7000
SPUR LINE - CHA 7000 - CHA 8400
SPUR LINE - CHA 8400 - CHA 9400
SPUR LINE - CHA 9400 - CHA 10800

CONCEPT LANDCORP Client **KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK** Project Title LOCALITY PLAN AND DRAWING INDEX t be unless Original Size A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C101 Rev: **B**



Plot Date: 18 March 2015 - 2:55 PM Plotted by: Tom Nguyen

Cad File No: G:\61\31700\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C110.dwg

DO NOT SCALE	Drawn	B.YAU	Designer	B.YAO
Conditions of Lise	Drafting Check	E.WRIGHT	Design Check	E.WRIGHT
Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approve (Project Date	d Director)		
for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale	AS SHOWN	This I used signe	Drawing must not b for Construction ur d as Approved
	•			

SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE CONCEPT Client LANDCORP Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK Title SPUR LINE CHA 0 - CHA 1400 Not be Original Size A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C110 Rev:		
Construction LANDCORP Project REMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK Title SPUR LINE CHA 0 - CHA 1400 Not be n unless Original Size A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C110 Rev: B		CONCEPT
Image SPUR LINE CHA 0 - CHA 1400 Not be n unless Original Size A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C110 Rev: B	Client LANDCORP Project KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK	
A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C110 Rev: B	Title SPUR LINE CHA 0 - CHA 1400	
	Original Size A1 Drawing No: 61-27426-C110	Rev: B



No	Revision	Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date

Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C111.dwg

PLAN





GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn	B.YAO	Designer	B.YAO
	Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Drafting Check	E.WRIGHT	Design Check	E.WRIGHT
		Approved (Project Date	d Director)		
		Scale	AS SHOWN	This I used signe	Drawing must not be for Construction unle d as Approved

		0	20 40 60 80 100m	
		SCA	LE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE	PRELIMINARY
	Client	LANDCORF)	
	Project	KEMERTON	I INDUSTRIAL PARI	κ
	Title	SPUR LINE		
		CHA 1400 -	CHA 2800	
e ess	Original Size	Drawing No:	61-27426-C1	11 Rev: A





No	Revision Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Project Director	Date

Plot Date: 27 November 2012 - 4:51 PM Plotted by: Elaine Wright

Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C112.dwg

PLAN





GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce Perth WA 6004 PO Box Y3106 Perth WA 6832 Australia T 61 8 6222 8222 F 61 8 6222 8555 E permail@ghd.com.au W www.ghd.com

	DO NOT SCALE	Drawn	B.YAO	Designer	B.YAO		
	Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Drafting Check	E.WRIGHT	Design Check	E.WRIGHT		
		Approved (Project Director) Date					
	for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale	AS SHOWN	This Drawing mus N used for Construc signed as Approve			
		-					

 D
 20
 40
 60
 80
 100m

 SCALE 1:2000 AT ORIGINAL SIZE
 PRELIMINARY

 Project
 LANDCORP

 Project
 KEMERTON INDUSTRIAL PARK

 Title
 SPUR LINE

 CHA 28000 - CHA 42000

 tumese
 Original Size

 Original Size
 Drawing No:
 61-27426-C112

 Rev:
 A





			/			
			ļ			
	+			lah	Drainat	
No	Revision	Note: * indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Job Manager	Director	Date

Cad File No: G:\61\27426\CADD\Drawings\61-27426-C113.dwg





DO NOT SCALE	Drawn	B.YAO	Designer	B.YAO
Conditions of Use	Drafting Check	E.WRIGHT	Design Check	E.WRIGHT
This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approve (Project Date	d Director)		
for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale	AS SHOWN	This I used [.] signe	Drawing must not be for Construction unl d as Approved
-				







Plot Date:	27 November 2012 - 5:48 PM	Plotted by: Elaine Wright	



DO NOT SCALE	Drawn	B.YAO	Designer	B.YAO
Conditions of Use	Drafting Check	E.WRIGHT	Design Check	E.WRIGHT
This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document)	Approve (Project Date			
for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.	Scale	AS SHOWN	This I used signe	Drawing must not be for Construction unle d as Approved
•	•			



		/			
	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
			Job	Proiect	
NO	Revision Note: ^ indicates signatures on original issue of drawing or last revision of drawing	Drawn	Manager	Director	Date



Appendix P References

- 1. The Greater Bunbury Structure Plan 2011-2031 (2011), Department of Planning.
- 2. Kemerton Industrial Park Envirionmental Management Plan (May 2012), Parsons Brinckerhoff.
- 3. Roads to Export Greater Bunbury Infrastructure Investment Plan (June 2012), South West Development Commission.
- 4. Kemerton Industrial Park Local Structure Plan (Feb 2013), The Planning Group.

GHD House, 239 Adelaide Tce. Perth, WA 6004 P.O. Box 3106, Perth WA 6832 T: 61 8 6222 8222 F: 61 8 6222 8555 E: permail@ghd.com.au

© GHD 2012

This document is and shall remain the property of GHD. The document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

Rev	Author	Reviewer		Approved for Issue			
No.	Aution	Name	Signature	Name	Signature	Date	
0	Goran Danicic	John Pittock		John Pittock			
1	Goran Danicic	Alan Mansfield		Phil Nicholls			
2	Alan Mansfield	Paul Breame		Phil Nicholls			
3	Elaine Wright	Paul Breame		Phil Nicholls			
4	Elaine Wright	Paul Breame	<u> </u>	Paul Breame	21		
5	Elaine Wright	Paul Breame	Klipe	Paul Breame	tert.	07/04/15	
			/		V	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Document Status